USA: Capital charges sworn against another Guantánamo
detainee tortured in secret CIA custody
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On 30 June 2008, the United Nations Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or
arbitrary executions, Philip Alston, called on the US government to discontinue all military
commission proceedings currently underway against Guantánamo detainees. He raised
particular concern about the cases of those detainees against whom the US authorities are
intending to seek the death penalty. His analysis of the Military Commission Act (MCA) and
information gathered during his recent mission to the USA “indicate clearly that these trials
utterly fail to meet the basic due process standards required for a fair trial under international
humanitarian and human rights law”. It would violate international law, he continued, to
execute someone after such a proceeding.

On the same day, however, the US Department of Defense announced that capital charges had
been sworn against another Guantánamo detainee, Saudi Arabian national ‘Abd al-Rahim al-
Nashiri, for trial by military commission. In contrast to the position of the UN Special
Rapporteur, Brigadier General Thomas Hartmann, Legal Advisor to the Convening Autho-
rity at the Pentagon's Office of Military Commissions, asserted that trial standards under the MCA
“are specifically designed to ensure that every accused, particularly Mr al-Nashiri [sic],
receives a fair trial consistent with American standards of justice”.

The question of US standards of justice is at the heart of this and other cases of detainees in
the “war on terror”. ‘Abd al-Nashiri, detained in November 2002 in the United Arab Emirates,
was held for nearly four years in unknown locations in the secret detention program of the
Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), becoming the victim of enforced disappearance. He was also
subjected to torture in CIA custody, under the water torture technique known as “water-
boarding”, simulated drowning. That he was subjected to this interrogation technique was
confirmed by the CIA Director in February 2008. Al-Nashiri was transferred from secret CIA
custody to virtually incommunicado military detention in Guantánamo in September 2006.
Since then, he has made further allegations that he was tortured in CIA custody. Any specific
details of such allegations have been censored from the public record on the grounds that the
CIA program – including which interrogation techniques have been used, where detainees have
been held, and in what conditions – is classified at the highest level of secrecy. Classification,
by design or effect, is obscuring details of human rights violations, including the international
crimes of torture and enforced disappearance.

In 2007, ‘Abd al-Nashiri told a Combatant Status Review Tribunal (CSRT) – a panel of military
officers convened behind closed doors to review his “enemy combatant” status – that he had
“made up stories during the torture in order to get it to stop”, and that “once he made a
confession, his captors were happy and they stopped torturing him”. At his CSRT hearing on
14 March 2007, ‘Abd al-Nashiri, through an interpreter, said: “From the time I was arrested five years ago, they have been torturing me. It happened during interviews. One time they tortured me one way and another time they tortured me in a different way.” The CSRT President told ‘Abd al-Nashiri that his allegations “will be included in the record of these proceedings” and “will be reported for investigation that may be appropriate”. The CSRT subsequently confirmed al-Nashiri’s “enemy combatant” status. Amnesty International does not know what if any investigation has been carried out into his torture allegations. In any event, the CSRT can rely on coerced and secret evidence in making its determinations about a detainee’s “enemy combatant” status.

‘Abd al-Nashiri is charged under the MCA with “conspiracy”, “murder in violation of the law of war”, “using treachery or perfidy”, “destruction of property in violation of the law of war”, “intentionally causing serious bodily injury”, “terrorism”, “providing material support for terrorism”, and “attempt to commit murder in violation of the law of war”. The charges, five of which carry the death penalty, allege that as a member of al-Qa’ida he organized and directed the attack on the USS Cole in Yemen in October 2000, in which 17 members of the US Navy were killed and others injured. It is also alleged that he was involved in the October 2002 attack on the SS Limburg, a French supertanker, in which a member of the crew was killed.

The Pentagon has said it expects as many as 80 detainees to face trial by military commission. By 1 July 2008, 22 Guantánamo detainees had had charges sworn against them or referred on for trial, with 20 still facing trial.1 ‘Abd al-Nashiri is one of six detainees against whom the US government is currently intending to seek the death penalty. A seventh who was facing capital charges, has had the charges against him dismissed.2

The US government has suggested that one reason why military commissions are necessary is that “our criminal courts simply do not have extraterritorial jurisdiction” over the detainees it has in its custody. As Amnesty International has pointed out, this justification does not bear scrutiny. Signing the MCA into law, President Bush said that it would be used to try by military commission not only 9/11 conspirators, but also those believed responsible for the attack on the USS Cole and “an operative” suspected of involvement in the bombings of the US embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in August 1998.3 Yet individuals had already been indicted or tried in US federal court for their alleged involvement in these crimes.

The USA has long accused ‘Abd al-Nashiri of involvement in the Cole bombing, without bringing him to trial. He was described in the 2004 9/11 Commission report as “the mastermind of the Cole bombing”. In May 2003, six months after his arrest, the USA charged two Yemeni nationals – who were not in US custody – in connection with the USS Cole bombing. Jamal Ahmed Mohammed Ali al-Badawi and Fahd al-Quso (aka Abu Hathayfah al-
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1 There has been one conviction, based not on a full trial but a guilty plea. In March 2007, after five years in Guantánamo, David Hicks pleaded guilty to providing material support for terrorism, and was sentenced by commission to seven years in prison, six years and three months of which was suspended under a pre-trial agreement. He was transferred to Australia to serve the remainder of the nine months.  
Adani) were indicted in US federal court in New York – not in a military commission in Guantánamo – with various offences, including conspiracy to murder and the murder of US nationals; conspiracy to use, using and attempting to use weapons of mass destruction; conspiracy to destroy, attempting to destroy and destroying US property and US defense facilities; using and carrying bombs and dangerous devices; and providing material support to a terrorist organization. In the indictment, ‘Abd al-Nashiri was named as an “un-indicted co-conspirator”’, yet he remained in secret custody for another three and a half years, and is now facing trial by military commission rather than ordinary federal court.

Detainees held by the USA in the name of counter-terrorism have had their right to the presumption of innocence systematically undermined by a pattern of official commentary on their presumed guilt. They have been subjected to enforced disappearance, secret detention and torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment, including in terms of the interrogation methods and detention conditions employed against them. Such abuses heighten the need for any trials to take place before courts independent of the executive and legislative branches which have authorized or condoned these human rights violations. Instead, trials are looming before military commissions lacking such independence and specifically tailored to tolerate government abuses, including by allowing the admission into evidence of information coerced under ill-treatment.

No one has been brought to account for the violations of the human rights of these detainees. This lack of accountability is one reason why the military commissions, lacking full independence from the same branch of government that has authorised such violations in the first place, convenes the military commissions, and brings the prosecutions, will not be a forum at which justice will either be done or be seen to be done.

Any executions after unfair trials in Guantánamo would not only violate international law, as the UN Special Rapporteur stated, but take place in an increasingly abolitionist world. A recent sign of the global tide against the death penalty was apparent in December 2007 when the UN General Assembly adopted a resolution calling on all states to impose a moratorium on executions. The resolution calls on states that still maintain the death penalty to respect international safeguards in capital cases, in particular the minimum standards set out in 1984 by the UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). Safeguard 5 of the ECOSOC resolution states: “Capital punishment may only be carried out pursuant to a final judgment rendered by a competent court after legal process which gives all possible safeguards to ensure a fair trial, at least equal to those contained in article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights [ICCPR]”. The military commissions do not comply with these standards. In his statement on 30 June 2008, the UN Special Rapporteur said that the due process flaws of the military commission system are such that “the current procedures constitute a gross violation of the right to a fair trial”.

The US government should abandon the military commissions. Any trials should be conducted before the appropriate, regularly-constituted criminal courts in the USA, with the full fair trial protections required by international human rights law. The government should drop its pursuit of the death penalty once and for all.
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