

**REVISING THE STANDARD
MINIMUM RULES FOR THE
TREATMENT OF PRISONERS:**

**AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL
BRIEFING RECOMMENDING
INCLUSION OF 'SEXUAL
ORIENTATION' AND 'GENDER
IDENTITY' AS PROHIBITED
GROUNDS FOR DISCRIMINATION
IN PRISONS**

**AMNESTY
INTERNATIONAL**



Amnesty International Publications

First published in 2012 by
Amnesty International Publications
International Secretariat
Peter Benenson House
1 Easton Street
London WC1X 0DW
United Kingdom
www.amnesty.org

© Amnesty International Publications 2012

Index: IOR 41/030/2012
Original Language: English
Printed by Amnesty International, International Secretariat, United Kingdom

All rights reserved. This publication is copyright, but may be reproduced by any method without fee for advocacy, campaigning and teaching purposes, but not for resale. The copyright holders request that all such use be registered with them for impact assessment purposes. For copying in any other circumstances, or for reuse in other publications, or for translation or adaptation, prior written permission must be obtained from the publishers, and a fee may be payable. To request permission, or for any other inquiries, please contact copyright@amnesty.org

Amnesty International is a global movement of more than 3 million supporters, members and activists in more than 150 countries and territories who campaign to end grave abuses of human rights.

Our vision is for every person to enjoy all the rights enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other international human rights standards.

We are independent of any government, political ideology, economic interest or religion and are funded mainly by our membership and public donations.

**AMNESTY
INTERNATIONAL**



REVISING THE STANDARD MINIMUM RULES FOR THE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS:

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL BRIEFING RECOMMENDING THE INCLUSION OF 'SEXUAL ORIENTATION' AND 'GENDER IDENTITY' AS PROHIBITED GROUNDS FOR DISCRIMINATION IN PRISONS

“Some say sexual orientation and gender identity is a sensitive subject. I understand. I did not grow up talking about these issues. But I learned to speak out because lives are at stake – and because it is our duty... to protect the rights of everyone, everywhere.”

UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon speaking to a Human Rights Council panel discussion on discrimination and violence based on sexual orientation and gender identity, March 2012.¹

I INTRODUCTION

1. Amnesty International is submitting this briefing to the 2nd Meeting Open-ended Intergovernmental Expert Group on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (henceforth: SMR²), set to take place in Buenos Aires in December 2012, in pursuance of United Nations (UN) General Assembly resolution 65/230 of 21 December 2010.
2. Having taken part in the Expert Meeting at the University of Essex on the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners and in subsequent discussions shaping its outcome document, which has also been submitted to the Meeting, Amnesty International has endorsed this document. The purpose of this added briefing is to highlight a single issue that we believe deserves explicit mention: the need to include 'sexual orientation' and 'gender identity' as prohibited grounds for discrimination in any widening of the list of prohibited grounds currently in Rule 6(1) of the SMR.

¹ See UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, "Human Rights Council holds panel discussion on discrimination and violence based on sexual orientation and gender identity", 7 March 2012, <http://www.ohchr.org/en/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=11920&LangID=E>, accessed 16 November 2012. For the direct quote see for instance "I am because you are' – the first ever UN panel on sexual orientation and gender identity", Emily Gray, (Amnesty International), 24 March 2012, <http://magazin.network-99.com/index.php/component/k2/item/5487-%E2%80%98i-am-because-you-are%E2%80%99-%E2%80%93-the-first-ever-un-panel-on-sexual-orientation-and-gender-identity>, accessed 16 March 2012.

²Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners. Adopted by the First United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, held at Geneva in 1955, and approved by the Economic and Social Council by its resolutions 663 C (XXIV) of 31 July 1957 and 2076 (LXII) of 13 May 1977.

3. The Essex University outcome document largely reflects Amnesty International's other positions as to the proposed "targeted" changes" to the SMR.

II CURRENT WORDING AND RECOMMENDED CHANGES

4. Rule 6(1) currently reads:

The following rules shall be applied impartially. There shall be no discrimination on grounds of race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status.

5. Amnesty International recommends that this Rule be revised to read as follows:

The Rules shall be applied impartially and with no discrimination, on one or more grounds such as of race, colour, sex, language, religion or conviction, political or other opinion or belief, membership of a particular social group, status, activities, descent, national, ethnic, indigenous or social origin, nationality, age, economic position, property, disability, marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity, birth or other status. Particular attention should be given to aggravated forms of discrimination.

6. This briefing focuses solely on explaining the rationale for Amnesty International's recommendation to add "sexual orientation" and "gender identity" to the list of grounds on which it is prohibited to discriminate in the treatment of prisoners. With the exception of this recommendation, the changes recommended here to Rule 6(1) are fully *in tandem* with those of the Essex Meeting experts, can be found in its outcome document to which Amnesty International is party and are explained and justified there.

III RATIONALE FOR ADDITION (1): DISCRIMINATION AGAINST LGBTI PEOPLE IN PRISONS

"I couldn't walk free in prison because the warders would point me out [as a gay man]... and prisoners were killing off gay men."

Former prisoner Harold B., speaking to Human Rights Watch in 2004.³

7. In prisons all around the world, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex (LGBTI) people are among the groups of prisoners most vulnerable to discrimination.
8. Such discrimination often assumes the harshest forms, including killing, torture and other ill-treatment by staff; and violence, including lethal violence, by other prisoners.⁴ Rape and other sexual attacks are a common form of homophobic and transphobic

³ Human Rights Watch, *Hated to Death: Homophobia, Violence and Jamaica's HIV/AIDS Epidemic* (2004), p. 15.

⁴ See for instance in country-specific reports by UN human rights treaty bodies (among others): Concluding observations of the Human Rights Committee: the United States of America, UN Doc. CCPR/C/USA/CO/3, 15 September 2006, para. 25; Conclusions and recommendations of the Committee against Torture: the United States of America, UN Doc. CAT/C/USA/CO/2, 25 July 2006, paras. 32, 37; Ecuador, UN Doc. CAT/C/ECU/CO/3, 8 February 2006, para. 17; Egypt, UN Doc. CAT/C/CR/29/4, 23 December 2002, para. 5(e). And see further the other sources cited in this section.

torture and violence.⁵ It is not uncommon that, as described in the passage quoted above, prison staff and prisoners collaborate in discriminating against LGBTI people.⁶

9. Amnesty International strongly recommends that since marginalisation, prejudice and dehumanisation of individuals because of their sexual orientation and gender identity lead to LGBTI prisoners being specifically targeted for harsh and often violent discrimination, states respond by specifically and explicitly clarifying that discrimination on such grounds is prohibited.

IV RATIONALE FOR ADDITION (2): DEVELOPMENTS IN INTERNATIONAL LAW AND STANDARDS

“Simply put, people may not be discriminated against in the enjoyment of rights on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity. As the High Commissioner has stated, ‘The principle of universality admits no exception. Human rights truly are the birthright of all human beings.’”

From a report by the Office of the UN High Commissioner on Human Rights, 2012⁷

10. The growing realisation of the need to acknowledge the right of every person to be free from discrimination on the basis of his or her sexual orientation or gender identity⁸ has been reflected in a wide array of resolutions, rulings, views and conclusions by international and regional bodies, of which the following is not exhaustive.
11. Virtually all of those UN expert bodies monitoring the implementation of human rights treaties which have issued General comments on discrimination, have stated that sexual orientation and gender identity constitute prohibited grounds for discrimination under their respective treaties.⁹

⁵ Report of the Special Rapporteur on the question of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, UN Doc. A/56/156, 3 July 2001, paras. 17-18.

⁶ See, for instance, *ibid.*, para. 23; report of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women, UN Doc. A/HRC/17/26/Add.2, 14 February 2011, 2 May 2011, para. 29.

⁷ United Nations Office of the High Commissioner, *Born Free and Equal: Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in International Human Rights Law*, (New York and Geneva: 2012), pp. 10-11.
<http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Publications/BornFreeAndEqualLowRes.pdf>, accessed 16 November 2012.

⁸ For a detailed elaboration of the principles underlining LGBTI people's right to freedom from discrimination and to human rights in general see The Yogyakarta Principles on the Application of International Human Rights Law in relation to Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity, adopted by an International Panel of Experts in International Human Rights Law and on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity following an experts' meeting held at Gadjah Mada University in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, from 6 to 9 November 2006.

⁹ See Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment No. 20, UN Doc. E/C.12/GC/20, 25 May 2009, para. 32; Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 13, UN Doc. CRC/C/GC/13, 18 April 2011, paras. 60, 72(g); Committee against Torture, General Comment no. 2, UN Doc. CAT/C/GC/2, 24 January 2008, para. 21; Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, General Recommendation No. 28, UN Doc. CEDAW/C/GC/28, 19 October 2010, para. 18. The exception is the Human Rights Committee, whose General Comment on non-discrimination dates back to 1989, and which has since stated unequivocally that “reference to ‘sex’ in articles 2, paragraph 1 and 26 [the non-discrimination provisions in the ICCPR] is to be taken as including sexual orientation.” See Human Rights Committee, *Toonen v Australia*, Communication No. 488/1992, views adopted 31 March 1994, UN Doc. CCPR/C/50/D/488/1992, para. 8.7, and similarly, for instance, *Young v Australia*, Communication No. 941/2000, views adopted 6 August 2003, UN Doc. CCPR/C/78/D/941/2000; *X v Colombia*,

12. Significantly, the Committee against Torture, whose mandate means that it focuses to a large extent on prisoners, has stated in a General Comment the following:

States parties must ensure that, insofar as the obligations arising under the Convention are concerned, their laws are in practice applied to all persons, regardless of race, colour, ethnicity, age, religious belief or affiliation, political or other opinion, national or social origin, *gender, sexual orientation, transgender identity*, mental or other disability, health status, economic or indigenous status, reason for which the person is detained, including persons accused of political offences or terrorist acts, asylum-seekers, refugees or others under international protection, or any other status or adverse distinction.¹⁰ [emphasis added]

13. Other human rights bodies have increasingly stated, similarly, that discrimination against individuals on the basis of their sexual orientation and gender identity is unacceptable under international human rights law and standards. Those have included the Human Rights Council¹¹ and, importantly for this briefing's purposes, the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detentions. The latter Working Group has been consistently stating that it "regards deprivation of liberty as arbitrary" *inter alia*:

When the deprivation of liberty constitutes a violation of the international law for reasons of discrimination based on birth; national, ethnic or social origin; language; religion; economic condition; political or other opinion; *gender; sexual orientation*; disability or other status, and which aims towards or can result in ignoring the equality of human rights.¹² [emphasis added]

14. At the regional level, the Council of Europe's Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence provides the following:

The implementation of the provisions of this Convention by the Parties, in particular measures to protect the rights of victims, shall be secured without discrimination on any ground such as sex, gender, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth, *sexual orientation, gender identity*, age, state of health, disability, marital status, migrant or refugee status, or other status.¹³ [emphasis added]

Communication No. 1361/2005, views adopted 14 May 2007, UN Doc. CCPR/C/89/D/1361/2005.

¹⁰ Committee against Torture, General Comment No. 2, *ibid.*, para. 21.

¹¹ Human Rights Council, Resolution regarding human rights, sexual orientation and gender identity, UN Doc. A/HRC/17/L.9/Rev.1, 15 June 2011, available at <http://ilga.org/ilga/static/uploads/files/2011/6/17/RESOLUTION%20L9rev1.pdf>, accessed 17 November 2012. See also Statement on human rights, sexual orientation and gender identity, Annex to the letter dated 18 December 2008 from the Permanent Representatives of Argentina, Brazil, Croatia, France, Gabon, Japan, the Netherlands and Norway to the United Nations addressed to the President of the General, UN Doc. A/63/635, 22 December 2008.

¹² See, for instance, Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, *Nasrin Sotoudeh v Islamic Republic of Iran*, Opinion No. 21/2011, 7 May 2011, para. 3; *Mohammad Ahmad Mahmoud Soukyeh et al v Palestinian Authority*, Opinion No. No. 9/2011, UN Doc. A/HRC/WGAD/2011/9, 27 February 2012, para. 3 and numerous other cases.

¹³ Council of Europe, Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence, CM(2011)49, 7 April 2011, Art. 4(3).

15. In a recent judgment, the European Court of Human Rights has found the reason for keeping a prisoner in Turkey in isolation was his homosexuality, and consequently that the prisoner he had sustained discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and there had therefore been a violation of Article 14 [prohibition of discrimination] taken together with Article 3 [prohibition of torture and other ill-treatment].¹⁴

16. In the Inter-American human rights system, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, has ruled the following:

While it is true that certain societies can be intolerant toward a person because of their race, gender, nationality, or sexual orientation, States cannot use this as justification to perpetuate discriminatory treatments. States... must be inclined, precisely, to confront intolerant and discriminatory expressions in order to prevent exclusion or the denial of a specific status.¹⁵

17. In the specific context of the treatment of prisoners, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, in a resolution outlining the Principles and Best Practices on the Protection of Persons Deprived of Liberty in the Americas, has stated:

Under no circumstances shall persons deprived of liberty be discriminated against for reasons of race, ethnic origin, nationality, color, sex, age, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, economic status, birth, physical, mental, or sensory disability, *gender, sexual orientation*, or any other social condition. Therefore, any distinction, exclusion, or restriction that is either designed to or has the effect of undermining or impeding the recognition, enjoyment, or exercise of the internationally recognized rights of persons deprived of liberty, shall be prohibited. [emphasis added]¹⁶

¹⁴ Case of *X v. Turkey* (application no. 24626/09), Judgment of 9 October 2012.

¹⁵ Inter-American Court of Human Rights, *Case of Atala Riffo and Daughters v Chile*, Judgment of February 24, 2012 (Merits, Reparations and Costs), para. 119. See more generally the resolution of the General Assembly of the Organization of American States "Human Rights, Sexual Orientation, and Gender Identity", on 3 June 2008, AG/RES. 2435 (XXXVIII-O/08).

¹⁶ The Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, Resolution 1/08: Principles and Best Practices on the Protection of Persons Deprived of Liberty in the Americas, 31 March 2008, principle II (equality and non-discrimination). Available at: http://www.cidh.oas.org/pdf%20files/RESOLUTION%201_08%20-%20PRINCIPLES%20PPL%20FINAL.pdf, accessed 16 November 2012.

**AMNESTY
INTERNATIONAL**



www.amnesty.org