AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL

Briefing

AI Index: ASA 20/011/2007 (Public)

24 May 2007

India: A pattern of unlawful killings by the Gujarat police: Urgent need for effective investigations

Amnesty International today issued an urgent call for independent, impartial and thorough investigations into at least 31 unlawful killings, including those of so-called "terrorist" suspects reported to have been carried out by police officers in Gujarat (western India) since 2002.

The call follows an admission by the Gujarat state government that senior officers of the state police, who were part of an anti-terrorist squad, were directly involved in the killing of a 38-year-old man, Sohrabuddin Shaikh, and his wife, Kausar Bi, in 2005.

The admission came as a result of the intervention of India's Supreme Court which ordered continued investigations into this case. While welcoming these efforts, Amnesty International remains concerned that the vast majority of other reported unlawful killings have yet to be effectively investigated by independent and impartial bodies.

In the case of Sohrabuddin Shaikh, the Gujarat state government disclosed to the Supreme Court that he was shot dead by Anti Terror Squad (ATS) officers, and that although he had been facing criminal charges including extortion, he had not been linked to terrorism. After his killing in 2005, the ATS branded him a terrorist member of Lashkar-e-Toiba (LET), an armed organisation in Kashmir, and accused him of conspiring to kill senior leaders of the former ruling Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), including Gujarat chief minister Narendra Modi.

The state government also admitted that the ATS officers shot dead his wife, Kausar Bi, possibly because she had witnessed her husband's killing, and later burnt her body. There is concern she may also have been sexually assaulted. Another key witness in the case, Tulsiram Gangaram Prajapati, was also shot dead by ATS officers - who were already under investigation for the killing of Sohrabuddin Shaikh. Six police officers have been arrested in connection with the case.

Human rights organisations and opposition parties have accused the Gujarat authorities of attempting to undermine the Sohrabuddin Shaikh investigation, after reports emerged claiming the perpetrators were under the orders of a criminal gang linked to the mining industry in neighbouring Rajasthan. The Supreme Court also sought an explanation from the Gujarat government as to why Geeta Johri, an investigator from the state crime branch police assigned to the case, had been relieved of this responsibility. Geeta Johri was subsequently reassigned to the investigation.

Unlawful killings since 2002

The unlawful killings in Gujarat since 2002 include both so-called "terrorist" suspects, and those accused of ordinary criminal offences (see Appendices A and B). The

government of Gujarat has stated that 21 such killings took place in the state during 2003-2006. A petition filed by a journalist, B. G. Verghese, seeking independent inquiries into these killings, is pending at India's Supreme Court.¹

Amnesty International is gravely concerned that the common features of the killings reveal a systematic pattern:

- At least 16 of the 31 killings, including those of two women, were carried out by the present or former ATS officers.²
- Almost all of the victims were killed in the early hours of the day.
- The majority of these killings took place at Ahmedabad.
- Thirteen of those killed belonged to the minority Muslim community.
- The ATS claimed that those it had shot dead were "terrorists", conspiring to either kill the chief minister or state and national BJP leaders or intending to set off explosions in Gujarat; and at least six of them hailed from Pakistan.

During the same period, at least 15 other killings were carried out by the Gujarat police elsewhere in the state.³

- All of the killed faced criminal charges and four belonged to the Muslim community.
- The police versions of these killings were similar in nature: police claimed that all of them died after police fired in self-defence in the course of a "confrontation" either at the time of arrest, or in custody.
- Of the 16 killings, only one appears to have been fully investigated, resulting in the conviction of the police officer concerned in a court of law.

Gujarat: entrenching a climate of impunity

The recent upswing in such killings by the Gujarat police began after communal violence in March 2002 - in which 2,000 people, mostly Muslims, lost their lives - and an armed attack on Akshardham temple in September 2002, in which 37 Hindu devotees and three security personnel were killed.

During this period, the Gujarat government repeatedly claimed it was taking action to foil a series of conspiracies against the state. It had alleged that Islamic fundamentalist militants backed by Pakistani intelligence services had planned these conspiracies in retaliation for the mass killings of Muslims during the March 2002 communal riots.

In late 2003, however, Amnesty International extensively detailed human rights violations - including illegal detentions in Ahmedabad and elsewhere – which were fostering a climate of impunity.⁴

¹ Reply to Question No. 16840, *Details of encounters with police in the state 2003-2006*, from the *Proceedings of the Gujarat assembly*, cited in Writ Petition (Criminal) 31 of 2007, B. G. Verghese vs. Union of India, State of Gujarat and the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC).

² Those killed included persons hailing from the states of Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir and Kerala. The age of those killed wherever one finds a mention, was between 19 and 38.

³ Those killed included persons hailing from the states of Uttar Pradesh, Uttaranchal, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Kerala

The climate of impunity strengthened over this period. Police and other security personnel believed to be responsible for widespread violations of human rights against the Muslim minority, especially the youth, in Ahmedabad and elsewhere in Gujarat, could operate without fear of investigation or prosecution.

Persistent failures to heed calls for investigations (see also Appendix A)

In 2006, the Supreme Court ordered the Gujarat police to conduct an inquiry into the killing of Sohrabuddin Shaikh, following which six police officials including the former head of the ATS were arrested. Till then, demands for investigations into reported killings by the ATS were routinely ignored.

In one of the cases (that of Samir Khan Pathan killed in 2002), 12 persons were arrested following Samir Khan Pathan's "confession" while he was in detention. After his killing, they were all released by courts. Subsequently, the state crime branch police had submitted a report questioning the ATS' version of Samir Khan Pathan's killing; the same was seconded by another wing of the Gujarat police, but no action was taken.

In two instances where those killed hailed from other states, those of Ishrat Jahan and Javed Shaikh (2004), police from these states launched their own investigations which went on to reveal that they had no criminal or terrorist record as alleged by the ATS. However, the Gujarat government chose to ignore these findings and failed to pursue its own investigations into the killings.

In the case of the two of the killings, those of Samir Khan Pathan (2002) and Ishrat Jagan (2004), India's National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) sought reports from the Gujarat government as to whether the NHRC guidelines for investigating such incidents were being followed.⁵. The Gujarat government failed to order such investigations until the intervention by the Supreme Court. This is despite the fact

⁴ See Amnesty International Report, India: Abuse of the law in Gujarat – Muslims detained illegally in Ahmedabad, AI Index 20/029/2003, 6 November 2003). Hundreds of persons, almost all of them Muslims, suspected of involvement in a range of alleged conspiracies against the state, had been detained. Those who faced incommunicado detention had to endure torture or degrading treatment at Gaekwad Haveli and other places in Ahmedabad. Those formally arrested numbered 240, including 239 Muslims, and the various human rights violations against them were facilitated by provisions of the Prevention of Terrorism Act, 2002 (POTA) under which they were charged. Many of them had spent long periods in illegal detention prior to their formal arrest. This widespread use of illegal detention and discriminatory use of POTA against members of the Muslim minority was reported to have intimidated the Muslim community who were too scared to make official complaints. The condition was such that only a handful of habeas corpus petitions were filed on behalf of those illegally detained, because of the overwhelming fear of retribution amongst relatives and even lawyers. Also, there was persistent harassment and intimidation of human rights defenders working with members of the Muslim community in the state. For example, see Amnesty International's Urgent Action update, Fear for the safety of Teesta Setalrad, Rais Khan Azeezkhan Pathan, Suhel Tirmizi and other human rights defenders in Gujarat state, AI Index: ASA 20/024/2003, 2 September 2003).

⁵ See http://nhrc.nic.in/ for Letter dated 29 March 1997 from NHRC chairperson Justice M. N. Venkatachaliah to chief ministers regarding the procedure to be followed in cases of deaths in police encounters and Letter dated 3 December 2003 from NHRC chairperson Justice A. S. Anand on Revised guidelines/procedures to be followed in deaths occurring in police encounters.

the NHRC guidelines clearly stipulate: "In cases where the police officers belonging to the same police station are members of the encounter party, it is desirable that such cases are made over for investigation to some other independent investigating agency, such as the state crime branch police".

The duty to protect the right to life and to conduct effective investigation into all unlawful killings

Following the Gujarat government's recent disclosures in the Sohrabuddin Shaikh case, relatives of at least three of those killed by ATS officers, and branded as "terrorists" intend to petition the judiciary for independent inquiries into the killings.

The state of India (the Union government) and the government of Gujarat have an obligation to protect the right to life as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Indian Constitution⁶ and in international human rights law.

Article 3 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states: "Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person". Article 6(1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), to which India is a party, states: "Every human being has the inherent right to life. This right shall be protected by law. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his life". Article 4 of the ICCPR states that this right cannot be waived "even in times of public emergency threatening the life of the nation". Unlawful and extrajudicial killings clearly contravene the right to life.

Under Article 2(3) (a) and (b) of the ICCPR, State parties are obliged to ensure that remedies are available to the victims of human rights violations and that those remedies are effective.

The Indian government ratified the ICCPR in 1979. By ratifying an international treaty which enshrines the right to life, India is obliged not only to respect that right in principle, but also to ensure it is not violated in practice. The ICCPR imposes a clear duty on states to investigate alleged violations of the right to life "promptly, thoroughly and effectively through independent and impartial bodies".⁷

Such investigations are a critical factor in the prevention of further unlawful killings. Without adequate investigation of complaints of extrajudicial killings, there can be little hope of prosecuting and convicting the perpetrators.

Recommendations

Amnesty International calls on the government of Gujarat and the Union government to:

⁶ Courts in India have repeatedly held that the right to life guaranteed by the Article 21 is inviolable. See *Challa Ramkonda Reddy vs. State of Andhra Pradesh*, *All India Reporter*, 1989 AP 235 and *All India Reporter* 2000 SC 2083.

⁷ Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 31, "Nature of the legal obligation on States Parties to the Covenant" para.15.

- set up prompt, thorough and impartial judicial inquiries urgently into all the reported unlawful killings by Gujarat police since 2002;
- to make the terms of reference of such inquiries available publicly;
- and ensure that the inquiries comply fully with the requirements of Principle 18 of the UN Principles on the Effective Prevention and Investigation of Extralegal, Arbitrary and Summary Executions.⁸

Under India's Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the Union or state government needs to sanction the arrest or criminal prosecution of public servants, including police officers and members of the civil or armed forces. This law has not been amended to ensure that public officers who violate human rights are no longer protected from prosecution, despite repeated calls to do so from human rights organisations.

Amnesty International calls for

- Assurances from the government of Gujarat that state sanction/permission will be given for the prosecution of public servants responsible for unlawful killings
- All those responsible for such killings to be afforded due process and brought to justice in trials which comply with international standards of fairness
- Those convicted not to be given the death penalty as this punishment contravenes the right to life and the prohibition of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.
- The family and dependents of all the victims to be adequately compensated in accordance with Principle 20 of the Principles on the Effective Prevention and Investigation of Extralegal, Arbitrary and Summary Executions.⁹

Amnesty International is concerned that the NHRC and the Gujarat Human Rights Commission have been unable to ensure that that all the complaints and reports of unlawful killings in Gujarat are adequately investigated. The NHRC has also been unable to ensure that the guidelines issued by it are fully respected by the authorities in Gujarat.

• The NHRC must review urgently its current policy and practice of monitoring unlawful killings, to ensure its guidelines on investigations are followed by the authorities.

⁸ Under the Principles, the inquiries should seek to determine the cause, manner and time of death, the person(s) responsible, and any pattern or practice which may have brought about the deaths. They should include an adequate autopsy, collection and analysis of all physical and documentary evidence and statements from witnesses.

In accordance with Principle 17 written reports shall be made within a reasonable time on the methods and findings of each inquiry. These shall be made public immediately and shall include the scope of the inquiry, procedures and methods used to evaluate evidence as well as conclusions and recommendations based on findings of fact and on applicable law. The reports shall describe in detail specific events that were found to have occurred, and the evidence on which such findings were based.

⁹ The NHRC guidelines states that the "question of granting of compensation to the dependents of the deceased would depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case".

APPENDIX A LIST OF UNLAWFUL FILLINGS OF SO-CALLED "TERRORISTS" IN GUJARAT 2002-2006

Names etc.,	Case details	Date, time and place	Details of execution	Follow-up details
1. Samir Khan Pathan	Case details	· ·	Resident of Ahmedabad, Gujarat. Police claimed he was arrested on 1 October 2002, but according to his relatives he was arrested on 27 September 2002. The court remanded him to further police custody. Shot dead well past midnight on 22 October 2002. Police claimed he had faced several charges including killing a constable in 1996. Police claimed that he later procured a fake passport, went to Pakistant o receive arms training, was working for Lashkar-e-Toiba (LET), an armed organisation in Kashmir, and was conspiring to kill Gujarat chief minister Narendra Modi. Police claimed that he was shot dead while trying to flee from custody.	On 11 November 2002, the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) sought a report, within two weeks, from the Gujarat police, whether investigation into the incident was done as per its guidelines enunciated on 29 March 1997. The Gujarat police's response to this was unknown. On 2 January 2003, 13 persons arrested earlier on the basis of Pathan's confession were released as per the orders of a local court. The Gujarat police challenged this order but the Gujarat High Court upheld their release as it held there was no way to verify the confession since Pathan was dead. The Supreme Court later upheld their release. In 2003, the Gujarat CID (crime branch) police submitted an internal report questioning the ATS account of the execution; in 2005, this report was seconded by the Gujarat inspector-
				general (human rights and social justice) but no action was taken.

				Pathan's father now plans to move the Supreme court for an independent inquiry.
2. Sadiq Jamal Mehtar (20)	DCB 3/03E; IPC 120B, 121, 122,123, 307; Arms Act 25A(1) (B)	10 January 2003; 0400 hrs; Galaxy cinema, Naroda, Ahmedabad	Resident of Jashonath Chowk, Bhavnagar, Gujarat. Shot dead. Police claimed he opened fire at them and they fired in self- defence. Police claimed he was working for Dawood Ibrahim, Chota Shakeel, the LET and Pakistan's Inter-services intelligence agency (ISI) and was conspiring to kill Narendra Modi, former Deputy Prime Minister LK Advani and Viswa Hindu Parishad leader Pravin Togadia. Police claimed they received a tip-off from central intelligence agencies.	Later that year, a private complaint was filed by Mumbai-based Kiran Tirodkar before a city special court that he had met Sadiq as a domestic help in Dubai who had lost his family members and his house in the 2002 Gujarat communal violence. It claimed that, on Sadiq's return to India, a Mumbai police officer handed him over to the Gujarat police to facilitate the extrajudicial execution.
3. Ganesh Khunte 4. Mahendra Chandrakant Jadhav	DCB 8/03; IPC 120B, 121, 121 A 122, 123, 307; Arms act 25(1)(B)(a)	23 June 2003; 0230 hrs; Gandhi Road, Panch Kuva, Kappad Bazar, Ahmedabad	Both residents of Mumbai city, Maharashtra. Shot dead. Police claimed that the two opened fire at them and they fired in self-defence. Police claimed they were working for Dawood Ibrahim, Chota Shakeel and the ISI and were conspiring to kill Gujarat law minister Ashok Bhatt and a BJP legislator, Bharat Bhanot.	
5. Israr Shaikh alias Pahelwan		2003-2004 Ahmedabad	Police claimed that he was wanted in several cases. Shot dead.	

6. Zeeshan Johar	DCB 8/04	16 June 2004;	Police claimed that Zeeshan was a	The killings of Ishrat and Javed created a
alias Janbaaz alias	IPC 120B, 121,	0430 hrs;	resident of Gujaranwala district and	controversy in their home states of
Abdul Ghani.	121A 122, 123,	Lotarpur	Amjad, a resident of Sargoda district,	Maharashtra and Kerala where police said
7. Amjad Ali	307	Waterworks,	(both Punjab, Pakistan).	they had no criminal records or links with
Akbar Rana alias	Arms act 25(1)	Naroda,	Javed was a resident of Goregaon, Pune	terrorist activities.
Salim alias	(B)(a), 27, 29;	Ahmedahad	city, Maharashtra.	On 18 June 2004, the NHRC had sought
Chandru alias Raju	POTA 3(3),		Ishrat was a student from Mumbra near	a report, within six weeks, from the
alias Rajkumar	20,21, etc,		Mumbai, Maharashtra.	Gujarat police whether its guidelines on
8. Javed Shaikh			Shot dead after a car chase	investigating such incidents, enunciated in
alias Pranesh			Police claimed that they fired in self-	letters dated 29 March 1997 and 2
Kumar Pillai			defence at the car from which firing	December 2003 to chief ministers, were
9. Ishrat Jahan			was done.	being followed. The Gujarat police's
Raza (female, 19)			Police claimed that they were working	response to this was unknown.
			for the LET and conspiring to kill	Following the findings of the
			Narendra Modi and were trying to enter	investigation into the execution of
			Gujarat with the help of banned	Sohrabuddin, Ishrat's mother in
			terrorist organisations.	Maharashtra and Javed's father in Kerala
				are planning to file petitions seeking
				independent inquiries into their killings.
10. Sohrabuddin		26-28 November	Both residents of Jharnaya, Madhya	The Government of Gujarat has
Shaikh		2005; Ahmedabad	Pradesh.	admitted, before India's Supreme Court,
11. Kausar Bi, wife		and Ilol.	Shot dead.	that, on the early morning of
of Sohrabuddin.			Police claimed that Soharabuddin	23 November 2005, the ATS officers had
			Shaikh, who was facing a number of	abducted the two, along with Prajapati,
			criminal charges, was an agent of the	killed Sohrabuddin Shaikh and Kausar Bi
			LET and that he was conspiring to kill	from a bus travelling from Hyderabad in
			top political leaders in Gujarat including	Andhra Pradesh to Sangli in Maharashtra;
			Narendra Modi. Kausar Bi went	they later killed Sohrabuddin Shaikh and
			missing.	Kausar Bi and burnt her body.
				This admission came after an

12-15.	17.M 1 2006		investigation done by an officer of the Gujarat state police crime branch, as per the orders of the Supreme Court on a petition filed by the victim's brother, Rubabuddin Shaikh who is fighting a legal battle. Following the investigation so far, six police officers – including three senior officers D. G. Vanzara, Rajkumar Pandyan, M. N. Dinesh Kumar – have been arrested.
Four youths	17 March 2006; 0330 hrs; Vinzol, Ahmedabad	Police claimed that at least three of the four were Pakistani nationals including Azaan, a commander of Harkat-ul-Mujahideen, an armed organisation in Kashmir, and Mohammed Ayub Bhatt, another member of the same organisation. The third person was Mudasser alias Javed Ahmad Dar and the fourth remains unidentified. Shot dead during a raid when the four fired on the police. Police fired in self-defence. Police claimed they were working for Harkat-ul-Mujahideen and working to recruit youth, bomb places of worship and kill key political leaders.	
16. Tulsiram	28 December	Resident of Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh.	See Sohrabuddin Shaikh-Kausar Bi
Gangaram	2006	Shot dead.	killings (No. 10 and 11). Ongoing
Prajapati	Banaskantha	Police claimed that he was a member of	investigations have revealed that Prajapati

	district.	the Sharif Pathan gang and that he tried	was abducted along with them on 23
		to escape while being taken in a train	November 2005. He was killed on 28
		from Ahmedabad to Udaipur in	December 2006 even as the investigations
		Rajasthan.	were going on.

APPENDIX B LIST OF OTHER REPORTED UNLAWFUL KILLINGS IN GUJARAT 2002-2006

Names etc.,	Case details	Date, time and place	Details of execution	Follow-up details
1. Anil Bain Mishra Behari	Pandesara GR 34/03; IPC 307; Arms act 25;	11 March 2003; 1830 hrs; Surat	Resident of Pandesara, Surat, Gujarat. Shot dead. Police claimed he assaulted them while they were trying to recovering	
	BP act 135		arms and they fired in self-defence.	
2. Jalabhai Popat Bhai Devi Poojak (24)	BDV 40/04 IPC 307, 332, 337, 188.	17 January 2004; 1730 hrs Lavaji Crematorium, Chowraya Beat, Rajkot	Resident of Kubli Yapas, Rajkot, Gujarat. Shot dead. Police claimed that he was wanted for criminal charges and that he attacked them with stones and knife and caused injuries in the course of a body search when they fired in self-defence.	
3. Mahesh Dipak Garwali.	Umra 36/04; IPC 307; Arms act 25	21 January 2004; 0100 hrs; Farm near City line Road, Surat	Resident of Garwali Chowki, Uttaranchal. Shot dead. Police claimed that he snatched a revolver from the police when they were trying to nab other wanted	

			persons and that the police fired in	
			self-defence.	
4. Mitho Umar	Vasad 35/04	14 March 2004;	Resident of Padana, Dhanduka taluk,	
Dafer (35)	IPC 395,397,	0230 hrs;	Ahmedabad district, Gujarat.	
	332,333;	Sundar Railway	Shot dead.	
	BP Act 135	Phatak, Anand	Police claimed that he was facing	
			several criminal charges and that he	
			attacked a police officer while being	
			chased after looting a truck as part of	
			a group. Police fired in self-defence.	
5. Dinesh	Bhachau police	15 April 2004	Resident of Bhachau, Gujarat.	The victim's brother Mahendrasinh Jadeja,
Navubha Jadeja	station	Bhachau police	Shot dead in the police station.	filed several petitions. In 2005, the Gujarat
alias Bako	IPC 307	station, Kutch	Police claimed that Bako came to the	government ordered a CID inquiry into the
			police station to meet his friend Devo	case. Following the investigation, on 2 May
			who had been arrested under the	2007, a Gandhidham court convicted police
			Goondas Act the previous day and	officer Manjitbhai Dabhi and sentenced
			demanded his release. Police claimed	him to life imprisonment.
			Bako tried to snatch the service	
			revolver of a police officer. Police	
			fired in self-defence.	
6. Salem Gagjibhai	BDV 180/04;	4 May 2004; 1230	Resident of G H Board, Rajkot,	
Miyana (23)	IPC 307, 332,	hrs;	Gujarat.	
	337, 186, 504;	GH Board III	Shot dead.	
		Floor, Rajkot	Police claimed that he was facing	
			several charges of bootlegging and	
			that he attacked them with an iron	
			rod injuring the right hand of the	
			policeman searching his residence	
			when they fired in self-defence.	
7. Subhash	Vyara 94/04	4 June 2004;	Resident of Kallu, Pathanapuram,	

Bhaskar Naik	IPC 307, 392,	0620 hrs;	Kollam district, Kerala.
	332, 353;	Barekhadi Patiya,	Shot dead.
	Arms act 25, 27	Surat	Police claimed that he was facing
			several criminal cases and that he
			snatched a revolver and fired at the
			police while being taken from Surat to
			Nawapur. Police fired in self-defence.
8. Kashyap Harpal	Kareli Bagh	14 August 2004;	Resident of Dhakeli Taluk, Khedka,
Singh Dhaka (22)	227/04;	1815 hrs;	Baghpat district, Uttar Pradesh.
	IPC 307, 224;	Harni village,	Shot dead.
	Arms Act 25, 27	Motnat	Police claimed that he was wanted in
		Mahadevwala	several criminal cases and that he tried
		Road Canal,	to scare police using a dummy
		Vadodara	revolver. Police fired in self-defence.
9. Sanjay alias	PS Umargam	26 November	Resident of Bilad, Umargam taluk,
Sanju alias Rahul	336/04	2004	Valsad district, Gujarat.
Sharad Prasad	IPC 307, 332	0610 hrs RK Farm	Shot dead.
Chowdhry	Arms act 25, 27	Nursery, Ketli	Police claimed that he was facing
		village, Bilan	several criminal charges; when police
		Sanjan Road,	was taking him from Valsad to
		Valsad district.	Umargam, he snatched the
			commando carbine and fired at the
			police,. Police fired in self-defence.
10. Mer Bhima	Sheel 77/04	29 December	Resident of Miti village, Junagarh
Manda Adhedara	IPC 307, 506(2)	2004;	district, Gujarat.
(37)	Arms Act 25	0935 hrs;	Shot dead.
		Miti village.	Police claimed that he was facing
		Junagarh district.	several charges and that they had
			taken him to a field to recover his
			hidden pistol and he suddenly used

l I			
			another hidden pistol to fire at them
			injuring a policeman. Police fired in
			self-defence.
11. Rajeshwar alias		9 April 2005; 0230	Resident of Patna, Bihar.
Mintu		hrs;	Shot dead.
		Dedarda village,	Police claimed that he was wanted in
		Anand	several criminal cases and that when
			they went to arrest him, he snatched a
			policeman's revolver and ended up
			pressing the trigger twice resulting in
			death.
12. Rafish alias	Jethpur 124/05.	18 July 2005; 2125	Resident of Dharagarh,
Bapudi	IPC 307, 397,	hrs;	Jamnagar district, Gujarat.
Mohammed Shah	333, 353, 188,	Marketing yard;	Shot dead.
Faquir	189;	Jethpur.	Police claimed that when they took
1	Arms act		him to recover a revolver, he
	25(1)A, 27;		snatched policeman's revolver and
	Damage to		fired at them injuring a policeman.
	property act 3, 7		Police fired at him in self-defence.
13. Dongaria	IPC 307	25 August 2005;	Resident of Madhya Pradesh.
Himla Machar	BP Act 135	Maraigaon, Valsad	Shot dead.
		district.	Police claimed that he attacked them
			with a dagger when they had gone to
			arrest him. Police fired in self defence.
14. Haji Haji	Umargam	9 October 2005;	Resident of Salaya Taluk, Jamnagar
Ismail Suzania	244/05	Nandigram	district, Gujarat.
	IPC 307		Police claimed that he was wanted in
	Arms Act 25, 27		several criminal cases and that when
			they went to arrest him, he fired at
			them. Police fired in self-defence.

15. Joginder Singh	Valsad City	2006	Resident of Billimoria Devsar Sikh	
Khattan Singh	11/06	Nanak Wada	camp, Valsad, Gujarat	
Sikh.	IPC 307	village School	Shot dead.	
	Arms act 25, 27	Compound	Police claimed that he was wanted in	
		District Valsad	several criminal cases. When they	
			went to arrest him, he tried to escape	
			but his motorcycle slipped. Police	
			claimed that he attacked them with a	
			dagger and a country-made gun.	
			Police fired in self-defence.	