## AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL PRESS RELEASE

AI Index: PRE 01/216/2012 23 April 2012

## Shell's wildly inaccurate reporting of Niger Delta oil spill exposed

A major oil spill in the Niger Delta was far worse than Shell previously admitted, according to an independent assessment obtained by Amnesty International and the Centre for Environment, Human Rights and Development (CEHRD), which exposes how the oil giant dramatically under-estimated the quantities involved.

The spill in 2008, caused by a fault in a Shell pipeline, resulted in tens of thousands of barrels of oil polluting the land and creek surrounding Bodo, a Niger Delta town of some 69,000 people.

The previously unpublished assessment, carried out by US firm Accufacts Inc. found that between 1,440 and 4,320 barrels of oil were flooding the Bodo area each day following the leak. The Nigerian regulators have confirmed that the spill lasted for 72 days.

Shell's official investigation report claims only 1,640 barrels of oil were spilt in total. But based on the independent assessment the total amount of oil spilt over the 72 day period is between 103,000 barrels and 311,000 barrels.

"The difference is staggering: even using the lower end of the Accufacts estimate, the volume of oil spilt at Bodo was more than 60 times the volume Shell has repeatedly claimed leaked," said Audrey Gaughran, Director of Global Issues at Amnesty International.

Shell's oil spill investigation report also claims that the spill started on 5 October 2008 – while the community and Nigerian regulators have confirmed a start date of 28 August 2008.

What is not in dispute is that Shell did not stop the spill until 7 November – four weeks after it claims it began – and 10 weeks after the start date given by the community and the regulator.

"Even if we use the start date given by Shell, the volume of oil spilt is far greater than Shell recorded," said Audrey Gaughran.

Converting the amount into litres, Shell's figure is just over 260,000 litres, while the lowest estimate based on the Accufacts assessment, and using Shell's start date would be 7.8 million litres.

However, using the start date given by the community and regulator and the higher end of the estimate, then it is possible that as much as over 49 million litres of oil spilt at Bodo.

The publication of the independent assessment coincides with a global week of action in which people from across the world are calling on Shell to stop hiding from the devastating impact of its operations in the Niger Delta on people's lives and the environment.

The serious under-recording at Bodo also has wider implications: Shell repeatedly claims to its investors, customers and the media that the majority of the oil spilt in the Niger Delta is caused by sabotage.

The basis for this claim is the oil spill investigation process, which is deeply flawed and lacks credibility. The cause of spills, the volume of oil spilt, and other important parameters like the start date, are not recorded in any credible way.

Bodo is one example but Amnesty International and CEHRD have also exposed serious failings in other oil spill investigations.

Both organizations have repeatedly called for an independent process for investigation of oil spills, and an end to the system that allows oil companies to have such influence over the process.

Shell initially claimed to the media that 85 per cent of oil spilt in the Niger Delta in 2008 was caused by sabotage. The company later admitted that this figure did not include a major oil spill that was subsequently found to be due to operational failures.

Based on the new evidence obtained by Amnesty International and CEHRD about the 2008 Bodo oil spill more than half of the oil spilt in the Niger Delta in 2008 was due to operational failures – and possibly as much as 80 per cent. However, given the serious flaws in the oil spill investigation process, all oil spills would have to be subjected to independent assessment to obtain accurate figures.

"Sabotage is a real and serious problem in the Niger Delta, but Shell misuses the issue as a PR shield and makes claims that simply don't stand up to scrutiny," said Audrey Gaughran.

More than three years after the Bodo oil spill, Shell has yet to conduct a proper clean up or to pay any official compensation to the affected communities. After years of trying to seek justice in Nigeria the people of Bodo have now taken their claim to the UK courts.

"The evidence of Shell's bad practice in the Niger Delta is mounting," said Patrick Naagbanton, Coordinator of CEHRD. "Shell seems more interested in conducting a PR operation than a clean up operation. The problem is not going away; and sadly neither is the misery for the people of Bodo."

This week thousands of activists in more than fourteen countries – from Japan to Sweden, Senegal to the USA, as well as in Shell's home countries the Netherlands and the United Kingdom - are taking part in events and protests, including outside Shell's offices and petrol stations, calling on Shell to clean up its act in the Niger Delta.

The week will reach a climax when affected communities stage a peaceful demonstration outside Shell's offices in Port Harcourt in the Niger Delta.

Ends/

Notes to editors

For further information or to arrange an interview please contact Tom Mackey Amnesty International Press Office +44 207 413 5566 tom.mackey@amnesty.org

Images of the 2008 Bodo oil spill are available upon request.

The assessment of the Bodo oil spill was carried out by Accufacts Inc., Redmond, WA, USA based on video footage of the spill. The assessment document is available upon request.

Amnesty International approached Shell to comment on the Accufacts assessment. Shell responded that the company could not comment due to the ongoing litigation on this case. Shell's full response is available at: <a href="http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/AFR44/027/2012/en">http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/info/AFR44/027/2012/en</a>

The oil spill investigation process in the Niger Delta involves the company, the regulatory agency and community representatives. While in theory it is a joint investigation, in reality the company controls the process and the recording of information collected during the investigation. Community representatives do not have the technical capacity to measure spill volumes. Regulatory oversight is widely acknowledged to be ineffective.

In August 2011 the United Nations Environment Programme released a report on the impact of oil pollution in Ogoniland, one region of the Niger Delta. That report found extensive oil pollution and was highly critical of Shell's clean up procedures.

A second oil spill occurred at Bodo in 2008. It began on 7 December and was not stopped until 19 February 2009. This spill was also due to operational failures by Shell. Shell recorded that 2,503 barrels of oil were spilled, covering an area of 10,000m<sup>2</sup>. The method for calculating this figure is unknown. According to the investigation report and the Bodo community, the second spill was larger than the first. Amnesty International and CEHRD believe the volume recorded for the second Bodo oil spill is also likely to be incorrect.

In 2009 Amnesty International and CEHRD published a detailed report on the two Bodo oil spills. The True Tragedy: Delays and failures in tackling oil spills in the Niger Delta (ADR/018/2011) is available at:

www.amnesty.org