

AI Index: PRE 01/204/2012
17 April 2012

Kuwait's Parliament must halt plans to introduce death penalty for blasphemy

A proposed legal amendment that would make blasphemy a crime punishable by death in Kuwait would be a massive step backwards by the country's authorities, if passed by parliament, Amnesty International said today.

The draft law was quickly introduced and passed by the Kuwaiti Parliament's Law and Legal Affairs Committee following the arrest of a man accused of insulting the Prophet Muhammad on Twitter.

Hamad al-Naqi, a member of Kuwait's Shi'a Muslim minority, is being held in pre-trial detention charged with "defaming the Prophet" in tweets posted on the social-networking website last month. He has denied making the posts, saying that somebody hacked into his Twitter account.

Kuwait's parliament voted in favour of the amendment on 12 April. Before it is passed, the amendment must go to a second vote two weeks after the first and then be approved by the government and Kuwait's ruler Sheikh Sabah al-Ahmad al-Sabah.

"We urge Kuwait's parliament to reject such an amendment which would constitute a flagrant breach of the country's international human rights obligations," said Ann Harrison, Deputy Director of Amnesty International's Middle East and North Africa Programme.

"All eyes are on Kuwait's recently-elected legislators. They must immediately scrap any plans to introduce the death penalty for blasphemy."

Article 111 of the Penal Code prohibits defamation of religion, currently providing for up to one year's imprisonment and a fine.

Under international law, "religious" offences do not fall under the category of "most serious crimes", the minimum threshold prescribed for crimes carrying the death penalty.

In November 2011, the United Nations Human Rights Committee called on Kuwait to "revise its legislation on blasphemy and related laws [...] to ensure their strict compliance with the [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, or ICCPR]" to which Kuwait is a state party.

Article 15 of the ICCPR prohibits states from imposing a heavier penalty than the one applicable at the time an alleged offence was committed.

"If it emerges that Hamad al-Naqi's Twitter account was indeed hacked then he has no case to answer; otherwise he is being held solely for exercising his right to freedom of expression and, unless charged with an internationally recognizable offence, he must be released immediately," said Ann Harrison.

“On no account should he be sentenced to death.”

Amnesty International opposes the death penalty in all cases without exception, as a violation of the right to life as recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and as the ultimate cruel, inhuman and degrading punishment.