EXTERNAL (for general distribution)

AI Index: AMR 29/10/93 Distr: UA/SC

27 April 1993

Further information on EXTRA 31/93 (AMR 29/04/93, 17 March 1993) and follow-ups: AMR 29/05/93, 19 March 1993, AMR 29/06/93, 22 March 1993, AMR 29/07/93, 23 March 1993 and AMR 29/08/93, 29 March 1993 - Legal Concern

## EL SALVADOR: Supreme Court to hear legal challenge against amnesty law for human rights violators

The amnesty law passed by the Salvadorian government in March 1993, which exempts from prosecution those responsible for human rights violations prior to 1992, has been legally challenged before the Supreme Court.

On 21 April 1993, a Salvadorian non-governmental human rights group presented a petition (*recurso de inconstitucionalidad*) to the Supreme Court challenging the Ley de Amnistía General para la Consolidación de la Paz, (General Amnesty Law for the Consolidation of Peace) as unconstitutional.

The petition, presented by the <u>Comisión de Derechos Humanos de El Salvador no gubernamental</u> (CDHES - Non-governmental Human Rights Commission), argues that the law violates several articles of the Constitution, including those relating to the applicability of amnesties to political crimes by government officials and the obligation of the state to abide by international human rights standards which it has ratified.

A Supreme Court ruling against the amnesty law could eventually lead to the repeal of the law, something Amnesty International has been calling for since the law's approval on 22 March. Such a ruling would send a strong message that impunity will not prevail.

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Amnesty International considers that, by allowing the perpetrators of brutal crimes to avoid accountability, the amnesty law shows a blatant disregard for the rights of victims and their relatives to know the truth and for the state's obligation to bring to justice those responsible, rights and obligations which are set down in the Salvadorian constitution and international law.

Amnesty International also considers that the law has seriously undermined the recommendations of the Truth Commission, a UN-appointed body which in March 1993 found government forces responsible for human rights violations committed on a massive scale during the period of armed conflict (1979-1982). The Supreme Court was criticised by the Commission for its role in furthering impunity for human rights violators. The Commission also held the opposition FMLN responsible for a number of extrajudicial executions.

The amnesty law has been widely condemned by different sectors, including the political opposition and the churches. The UN and the EEC have also voiced criticism, as have some individual foreign governments. Nevertheless the law has been put into effect since 31 March.

The FMLN has criticized the apparently unequal application of the law; although FMLN members who committed human rights abuses appear to be covered by the law, several FMLN members convicted of killing US nationals have in practice been excluded from the amnesty on the grounds that international treaties override the amnesty law in cases where the victims have diplomatic status.

The day after the amnesty law came into force, a colonel and lieutenant sentenced last year for the 1989 murder of six Jesuit priests, their housekeeper and her daughter, were the first to be released under the terms of the law. The only senior-ranking

Page 2 of 5FU EXTRA 31/93

officials ever to have been convicted for human rights violations, they had served 14 months in prison.

# FURTHER RECOMMENDED ACTION: Please send telegrams/telexes/faxes/express and airmail letters in Spanish, English or your own language:

In appeals to all those listed below:

- expressing grave concern at the approval of the Ley de Amnistía General para la Consolidación de la Paz in March 1993 and noting that a *recurso de inconstitucionalidad* was recently presented to the Supreme Court;

- stating your view that, by preventing human rights violators from being prosecuted, the law violates principles set forth in international law and the Salvadorian constitution, and is a serious step backwards in the process of national reconciliation begun by the 1992 peace accords;

- urging that the amnesty law be repealed;

In appeals to the Supreme Court ONLY and INSTEAD of the third point above:

- urging that the Court declare the law unconstitutional, so as to allow past abuses to be investigated, the full truth to emerge, and those responsible to be brought to justice, in compliance with El Salvador's obligations under international law.

## APPEALS TO:

2) Minister of Justice:	
Dr. René Hernández Valiente	
Ministro de Justicia	
Ministerio de Justicia	
Av Masferrer No 612-b	
Colonia Lomas Verde, San Salvador	
El Salvador	
Telegrams: Ministro Justicia, San	
Salvador, El Salvador	
<b>Telexes:</b> 20179, 20599	
Faxes: + 503 23 26 11	
+ 503 98 0315 (Ministry of Foreign Affairs)	
please put "favor transmitir al Ministro de Justicia"	

Salutation: Sr. Ministro

3) <u>National Communications Secretary</u>: Lic. Ernesto Altshcul Secretario Nacional de Comunicaciones Casa Presidencial San Salvador, El Salvador Telegrams: Secretario Nacional de Communicaciones, San Salvador, El Salvador Faxes: + 503 81 42 09

Salutation: Sr. Secretario

4) Commission for the Consolidation of Peace
(Body overseeing implementation of peace
accords):
COPAZ
Asamblea Legislativa
6a Planta, Edificio Centro de gobierno
San Salvador, El Salvador
Faxes: + 503 71 35 88

### Salutation: Señores

COPIES TO:

Human Rights Organization:	Opposition Newspaper:	Radio Station:
CDHES	Diario Latino	Radio Cadena Sonora
Urbanización La Esperanza	23 Ave Sur No 225	Diagonal Principal 1322
Pasaje 1, No 119	Apartado Postal 368	San Salvador, El Salvador
San Salvador, El Salvador	San Salvador, El Salvador	Faxes: + 503 26 54 91
	Faxes: + 503 71 09 71	

and to diplomatic representatives of El Salvador accredited to your country.

**PLEASE SEND APPEALS IMMEDIATELY.** Check with the International Secretariat, or your section office, if sending appeals after 4 June 1993.