Thousands of executions since 1979 - the victims include juveniles
Political imprisonment ✱ Arbitrary arrests
Unfair trials in political cases ✱ Torture and ill-treatment of prisoners
Amputations and floggings imposed by the courts
This briefing is part of Amnesty International's worldwide campaign for the international protection of human rights.

Throughout the world thousands of people are in prison because of their beliefs. Many are held without charge or trial. Torture and executions are widespread. In many countries men, women and children have "disappeared" after being taken into official custody. Still others have been put to death without any pretence of legality; selected and killed by governments and their agents. These abuses — taking place in countries of widely differing ideologies — demand an international response. The protection of human rights is a universal responsibility, transcending the boundaries of nation, race and belief. This is the fundamental principle upon which the work of Amnesty International is based.

Amnesty International is a worldwide movement independent of any government, political persuasion or religious creed. It plays a specific role in the international protection of human rights:

— it seeks the release of prisoners of conscience. These are people detained for their beliefs, colour, sex, ethnic origin, language or religion who have not used or advocated violence;
— it works for fair and prompt trials for all political prisoners and on behalf of political prisoners detained without charge or trial;
— it opposes the death penalty and torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment of all prisoners without reservation.

Amnesty International is impartial. It does not support or oppose any government or political system, nor does it support or oppose the views of the prisoners whose rights it seeks to protect. It is concerned solely with the protection of the human rights involved in each case, regardless of the ideology of the government or the beliefs of the victims.

Amnesty International, as a matter of principle, condemns the torture and execution of prisoners by anyone, including opposition groups. Governments have the responsibility for dealing with such abuses, acting in conformity with international standards for the protection of human rights.

Amnesty International does not grade governments according to their record on human rights: instead of attempting comparisons it concentrates on trying to end the specific violations of human rights in each case.

Amnesty International has an active worldwide membership, open to anyone who supports its goals. Through its network of members and supporters Amnesty International takes up individual cases, mobilizes public opinion and seeks improved international standards for the protection of prisoners.

Amnesty International's work is based on the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The organization has formal relations with the United Nations (ECOSOC), UNESCO, the Council of Europe, the Organization of African Unity and the Organization of American States.

COVER PHOTOGRAPH: Inside Evin prison. This Tehran prison holds thousands of prisoners, many of them arrested for political reasons. Over the years, Amnesty International has received scores of testimonies alleging that prisoners have been tortured inside Evin and executed after the most summary of trials.
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Violations of Human Rights in Iran

Thousands of Iranians have been executed since 1979, many in secret. Anyone suspected of supporting the opposition has been at risk of arbitrary arrest and detention. Political detainees have been brutally tortured in prisons and detention centres throughout the country. Political trials are summary, with practically no defence rights at all. Courts impose amputations and floggings as punishments — punishments which contravene human rights standards by their cruelty and inhumanity.

Amnesty International’s fight against human rights abuses in Iran dates back nearly 20 years. Some prisoners of conscience for whose release the organization campaigned during the 1970s are now in positions of authority, responsible for the continuing incarceration and execution of prisoners of conscience. Other former prisoners of conscience are again in prison; many have been executed.

The departure of the Shah from the country was followed by the creation of the Islamic Republic of Iran in 1979. In 1980 war broke out with Iraq, which continues to this day. These dramatic and wide-ranging changes heralded a period of turmoil. The first arrests were, predictably, of members of the Shah’s entourage and administration and of SAVAK (secret police) agents held responsible for the widespread torture of political prisoners under the Shah. Executions followed on an unprecedented scale and continued as opposition to the new ruling authorities grew. Torture of political detainees was again widely practised. Arbitrary arrests and summary trials were commonplace and the Bar Association was closed down. Lawyers were banished from political trials. In the early 1980s suspected supporters of the opposition were being executed in their thousands after the most summary of trials.

In the years after 1979 there was no centralized authority to ensure compliance with the law. The law enforcement institutions established by the new government — the Revolutionary Guards and komitehs (local committees) — enjoyed a great deal of autonomy. Individual judges appeared to have unbridled powers, local officials could exploit their positions for personal gain or to conduct vendettas, and Revolutionary Guards abused their authority by, for example, torturing prisoners in their custody. Not only did this lead to widespread human rights violations, but it also denied the victims any avenue of legal redress. They could not challenge arbitrary detention at an impartial court hearing, nor were they allowed to present a defence in the course of a fair and public trial. Prisoners sentenced to imprisonment were executed with no further judicial hearings, and vastly disparate sentences were handed down in different parts of the country.

Obtaining, verifying and assessing information on human rights abuses in Iran is a difficult and lengthy process. Amnesty International has not been allowed to conduct on-the-spot research, the authorities do not respond to requests for information and there is no domestic human rights organization or independent Bar Association seeking to protect the rights of Iranians. As a result, the information on human rights violations presented in this briefing is a description of a long-term pattern of abuses, rather than an up-to-the-minute record. The scale of indiscriminate abuses has abated since the early 1980s, and there have been improvements in recent years which Amnesty International has welcomed. However, the measures taken so far do not adequately protect Iranian citizens from violations of the kind documented here. The names of the people quoted in this briefing have been withheld at their request; they fear reprisals against their relatives still living in Iran. In 1986 Amnesty International sent the government two documents with a request for comments. One analysed Iran’s penal code in the light of international human rights standards, the other examined Amnesty International’s concerns in Iran. (See Iran: Violations of Human Rights, Documents sent by Amnesty International to the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran.) They were accompanied by recommendations for improving the protection of individual rights, and a request for permission to send a delegation to Iran. No response was received. Despite repeatedly inviting talks with government officials, Amnesty International has not been allowed to send a delegation to Iran since April 1979.

Eight years after the Revolution, human rights violations are still being perpetrated by the authorities in Iran. This briefing is part of Amnesty International’s continuing campaign to persuade the Iranian Government to bring the violations to an end.
Executions

Thousands of people have been executed in Iran since 1979. Many of the victims died simply because the authorities believed they belonged to opposition organizations. Executions have often been carried out in secret and not officially acknowledged, so the true number of deaths by execution is not known. In 1986 Amnesty International recorded 115 — it believes this figure to be well below the true total.

In the early 1980s the toll was higher. In the second half of 1981, for instance, Amnesty International learned of 2,444 executions. The authorities appeared to be using summary executions as part of a deliberate policy to eliminate the opposition and deter people from supporting it. Members of opposition groups risked not only their own lives, but also those of their relatives and friends.

Amnesty International is unreservedly opposed to the death penalty. It is all the more concerned when the victims have been executed after trials which give the defendant no chance of a fair hearing. In Iran, thousands of men and women have been executed after summary trials lasting a matter of minutes. The accused have had no access at any stage to legal counsel, have been given no opportunity to present a defence, have had no one to speak for them at the hearing and have been denied the right to appeal.

Victims

The victims of execution include people convicted of criminal offences such as murder, offences against the sexual and moral codes of the Islamic Republic such as adultery, and political offences such as membership of opposition organizations.

Among those executed since 1979 for political reasons are suspected supporters of many opposition organizations including the Democratic Party of Kurdistan of Iran, Komel, the People’s Fedayan Organization of Iran, the People’s Mojahedine Organization of Iran, Peykar, Ruh-e Kargar, Razmandegan, the Tudeh Party, the Union of Communists, and monarchist groups.

For example, in 1981 and 1982 alone, thousands of members of the People’s Mojahedine Organization were executed. In early 1983 over 1,500 members of the Tudeh Party were arrested and in February 1984, 10 leading members of the party were executed. In August 1986 Amnesty International appealed on behalf of 13 members of the People’s Fedayan Organization whom it believed to be under sentence of death — two had already been executed. These are but a few of the thousands of political executions carried out since the Revolution. Since the early 1980s most executions of suspected opposition activists have not been officially announced.

Over 200 people have been put to death by execution because of their religious beliefs. Members of the Baha’i faith have been subjected to harassment, imprisonment and execution since 1979. The Baha’i faith is not among the religions recognized under the Iranian Constitution.

A not-untypical report from Zahedan, a city in the southeast, from May 1986 reads: “Three drug smugglers, two Afghan highway robbers and a Baha’i were executed at dawn yesterday. The Baha’i . . . was executed for ‘acting against the security of the Islamic Republic, spying for Israel and direct financial aid to Israel’.”

Many of the executions officially announced are stated to be for drug-related offences. However, Amnesty International has received reports that some of those executed ostensibly for such criminal offences were in fact punished for their political activities.

Amnesty International has interviewed former prisoners who in the course of their imprisonment were forced to watch the execution of relatives, friends and cell-mates. One man, a member of the People’s Mojahedine Organization who was held in Tabriz prison between February 1981 and September 1983, told Amnesty International: “With time I got to know my fellow prisoners and love them. Each time they would take prisoners away to be executed, and then new prisoners came to my cell, and I got to know them in the same way, and the same thing happened so many times.

“In the end it was so emotionally painful, that I found myself hoping I’d be the next to be executed . . . apart from the physical torture, the emotional and psychological torture was terrible . . . when there were executions, we had to load the bodies onto a lorry, with maybe a hand or limb missing from them. I had to do it three times, putting the corpses into bags and loading them onto a lorry.

“Sometimes there were relatives executed together, or else one only would be executed, and beforehand they would be allowed a final brief meeting. My cell was close to the execution yard, and I could overhear these meetings and the cries that followed the executions . . .”

A female student imprisoned in Evin prison and Ozeel Hesar prison between September 1981 and March 1982 described living in a cell holding 120 women, ranging from schoolgirls to the very old, some of whom were awaiting execution: “One night a young girl called Tahereh was brought straight from the courtroom to our cell. She had just been sentenced to death, and was confused and agitated. She didn’t seem to know why she was there. She settled down to sleep next to me, but at intervals she woke up with a start, terrified, and grasped me, asking if it were true that she really would be executed. I put my arms around her and tried to comfort her, and reassure her that it wouldn’t happen, but at about 4am they came for her and she was taken away to be executed. She was 16 years old.”

Families of prisoners await-
ing execution have rarely been
informed in advance or
allowed visits. Many have
simply been asked by tele-
phone to collect the belong-
ings of their executed relative
or told the number of the plot
in the cemetery where he or
she was buried. Relatives are
rarely allowed access to the
body of the person executed.

**Methods**

Most executions in Iran are by
hanging or firing-squad. Ston-
ing to death is also prescribed
for certain offences. Stoning is
designed to cause pain to the
victim before death. The Isla-
ic Penal Code of Iran states:

“In the punishment of stoning
to death, the stones should not
be too large, so that the person
dies on being hit by one or two
of them; they should not be so
small either that they could
cannot be defined as stones.”

A report allegedly from an
eye-witness to a stoning reads:

“The lorry deposited a large
number of stones and pebbles
beside the waste ground, and
then two women were led to the
spot wearing white and with
sacks over their heads... [they] were enveloped in a
shower of stones and trans-
formed into two red sacks...
The wounded women fell to
the ground and Revolutionary
Guards smashed their heads in
with a shovel to make sure that
they were dead.”

Death by stoning is stipu-
lated for various sexual off-
ences such as adultery. Re-
latively few stonings to death
have been reported since 1979,
but Amnesty International
learned of eight people who
died in this way in 1986. A
woman who had been con-
victed of adultery and murder
was first given 100 lashes and
then stoned to death in Qom
in April. In Karaj, three men
were publicly stoned to death
in April for involvement in a
prostitution ring.

Executions are often carried
out in public. For example, a
36-year-old man convicted of
murder was hanged in Rashe-
diyeh Square in Tehran early
in the morning of 9 July 1986.
Before being hanged, he was
subjected to 74 lashes. Accord-
ing to press reports, because the time of the execu-
tion was changed from 6am to
4.45am “not more than 200
people” witnessed the hang-
ing. Often the bodies are left
on public view after the execu-
tion has been carried out.

Former prisoners have testi-
fied to being forced to look at
the bodies of execution vic-
tims:

“There was a lot of crying and
wailing; then I was told: ‘We
are going to take off your
blindfold, you mustn’t look to
the side, just look straight
ahead.’ I opened my eyes and
saw a young boy hanging from
the tree. Both hands were
bandaged up to the elbows
and both legs up to the knees; he
was very thin and his name
was written on a card round
his neck. A guard stood next
to the boy and pokéd the body
with a stick, making it turn
round and round. Meanwhile
other guards watched the pris-
oners to see their reactions.”
(Evin prison 1981/82)

**Juveniles**

Executing children and young
people under the age of 18 is
prohibited under international
law, including the Interna-
tional Covenant on Civil and
Political Rights, by which Iran
is legally bound. However,
Amnesty International knows
of cases, some of which are
referred to in this briefing, in
which youngsters under 18
have been executed in Iran for
political offences.

A 16-year-old boy who was
arrested in Amol in northern
Iran in 1981 testified that he
had been sentenced to death in
prison. “I was brought before
a judge and a prosecutor.
They said that they had tried
to help me but that I had
refused and insisted on keep-
ing my own views, so they
sentenced me to death... They
told me to write my will
and they would pass it on to
my family... I thought I
would be executed at any time
since I was held in that particu-
lar cell where those awaiting
execution are held.” He man-
aged to escape and left the
country. His testimony was
corroborated by another for-
mer prisoner who had been
held with him and who was
interviewed independently by
Amnesty International.
Political imprisonment

There are many thousands of political prisoners in Iran, and political arrests are continuing.

In one incident on 10 April 1985, 300 people were officially reported to have been arrested during anti-war demonstrations. Opposition sources asserted that the number arrested that day ran into thousands. Political arrests continued throughout the year. Some followed bomb attacks and other politically motivated violence. Many people, however, were arrested on suspicion of belonging to or sympathizing with opposition movements.

Since the 1979 Revolution people from the entire political spectrum, from communists to members of right-wing monarchist groups, have been arrested. Among those in prison in Iran today are supporters of the late Shah and members of his entourage and administration, and former SAVAK (secret police) agents. Alongside these prisoners are supporters of many opposition political groups. Members of certain ethnic minorities fighting for greater autonomy, such as the Kurds, have been imprisoned in significant numbers. Another group of prisoners is made up of members of the Baha’i faith.

Many prisoners in Iran are prisoners of conscience; they are held solely because of their beliefs, non-violently expressed. Amnesty International cannot estimate the number of prisoners of conscience currently held because of the difficulty of obtaining and verifying information on individual cases.

Prisoners include writers, journalists, doctors, lawyers, lecturers and teachers, students, housewives and factory and manual workers.

Some are elderly, such as a 74-year-old writer held in prison although he is seriously ill. He was first arrested in March 1981, escaped from prison later that year, and was rearrested in December 1981. He was allegedly tortured during his first period of imprisonment. In early 1986 he was temporarily released from prison and allowed home under surveillance, but was then returned to prison, despite needing treatment for serious stomach and kidney problems. Both his legs are paralysed and he is nearly blind.

Many prisoners are teenagers who were at school when they were arrested.

Arbitrary arrests

Relatives of political activists have been held, either as hostages until the suspects are found, or to apply pressure on individuals already in detention. The wives of suspected political activists who have evaded arrest have often been imprisoned in this way. One told Amnesty International: “The Revolutionary Guards came to our home in Esfahan in November 1983. They were looking for my husband but had no warrant with them, and my husband had already managed to leave the country. When they couldn’t find my husband they said they just wanted to take me for a couple of hours to ask me a few questions. My father and younger sister, aged 19, were taken along too but they were released after six hours. I remained in prison for 14 months . . .”

Amnesty International believes that similar arrests still take place in Iran. In 1985 a woman whose husband could not be traced was arrested in Tehran and sentenced to seven years’ imprisonment. She was apparently told that if her husband were found, she could be released. She is believed to present to be in Qezel Hesar prison.

Entire families have been rounded up: “I was arrested in September 1980. The Revolutionary Guards came to our home and searched it. My father, mother, sister and younger brother were there and they locked them in a room together. When I got home my brother had also just returned and neither of us knew what was going on. Our house had a cellar and they took the two of us there and beat us up. There were 10 or 12 of them. Then they took the whole family to the Revolutionary Guards headquarters in Orumiyeh. Fortunately we managed to persuade them to release our parents after a few days . . .”

Everyone who is arrested should be told why they are being taken into detention and promptly informed of any charges against them. This basic right is spelt out clearly in Iran’s constitution.

In practice, political detainees are often not told the reason for arrest for weeks or even months. Many people are apparently arrested because their names have been mentioned under torture by friends, relatives or colleagues.

Amnesty International knows of people arrested mistakenly because their names were similar to those of political activists sought by the police.

A former prisoner told Amnesty International of one man brought to the prison where he was held who was aged about 60, was undergoing cardiac treatment in hospital, and was carried in on a stretcher. He remained in the prison for two days before the prison authorities realized that although his name was similar to that of a known political activist, he was not the person in question. He was then returned to the hospital.

Individuals are often taken into custody from their homes and are told only that they have to answer some ques-
tions, which may require their presence for a few hours. In practice they may be held for many months or even years. Relatives have been insulted and warned not to make inquiries about the detainee, and threatened with imprisonment themselves if they do.

People arrested away from home usually cannot let their families know what has happened or where they are. When approached, the arresting authorities sometimes deny that the person is in their custody. It is not uncommon for families to search for a "missing" relative for months, going to each prison, Revolutionary Guards centre and komiteh in the district.

Preventing communication between detainees and their relatives significantly increases the chances of torture and ill-treatment, and also causes prolonged and unnecessary anguish for both detainee and family.

**Who carries out arrests?**

The overwhelming majority of political arrests have been carried out by Revolutionary Guards and komiteh members. However, Amnesty International has also been informed of political arrests by the army.

One woman whose testimony is typical of many in Amnesty International's possession told the organization what happened when Revolutionary Guards came to her Tehran home in November 1983 in search of her husband, a suspected opposition activist: "Four armed guards came to my front door asking if this was my husband's home. When I said that it was, they rushed inside. (They all carried Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps identity cards.) I was there with my three children, aged 11, eight, and six months, my sister-in-law and her two children. They put us all in one room and locked the door. Then they searched the place. Finally they let me out to question me. They asked me about my husband, his education, age and profession. I explained he was away on business. They took photographs of him and phoned their headquarters with his description. The children were crying all the time. My young son had had a tooth removed that morning and his mouth was still bleeding, but they wouldn't let me take him to the doctor. Each time there was a knock at the front door, and this was quite often as I have good neighbours, each guard would crouch in a corner of the room with their guns pointing towards the door as they sent me to answer. The guards were changed at intervals of about two hours. When my brother arrived they took him to another room for questioning, and later they took him away. The following day at 1pm they brought him back. When the guards had searched the place they had taken away computers, books, pens, anything they took a fancy to — they even took away children's books. When they brought my brother back it was to take me in his place. My children were crying and holding on to me but the guards pushed them aside and told me I was to take my young baby with me. When we reached Evin prison they blindfolded me and took my child away."

Official regulations state that: "Revolutionary Guards are not authorized to arrest anyone without the written permission of the Public Prosecutor. Likewise they are not allowed to enter anyone's house or seize anyone's prop-

Women have not been spared imprisonment, torture, or execution. Above, female prisoners are shown inside Tehran's huge Evin prison, which houses thousands of prisoners, many incarcerated on political grounds. Below, male prisoners inside Evin prison doing prison work. Both photographs are from 1984.
Qasr prison, one of the prisons where amputations have been carried out.

has often been assaulted during the arrest, and arrest has frequently been followed by systematic physical and psychological torture.

The treatment described in the following testimony is typical of many from the early 1980s:

“One night at about 2.30am [September 1981], a young man came to the door. He was dressed in civilian clothes. He asked to speak to my son. I told him to come back in the morning, but he insisted. Suddenly he took out a pocket radio and I heard someone say ‘You’d better go in.’ Guards appeared on all three sides. Some were even on the roof. There were 11 armed guards inside the house and more outside. I couldn’t see how many.

“They beat me up because I hadn’t wanted to let them in, and burst into my wife’s bedroom. She told them that if they were good Muslims they should wait till she was dressed, but they hurled insults at her, and then called me a homosexual. They threw my wife out of bed and dragged my son in by the hair and beat him. Afterwards they took him straight to Evin prison.

“The rest of the family was taken to the Revolutionary Guard office in west Tehran. My wife and young daughter were released the next day. They kept me in for a week, beating me every day and deprived me of food for the first three days. My son is still in Evin.”

In such cases it is impossible to know whether the Revolutionary Guards had been authorized to make these arrests by the Public Prosecutor. What is clear, however, is that existing procedures have been abused.

Such abuse has been officially recognized. In December 1982 the then Revolutionary Prosecutor General told revolutionary prosecutors throughout Iran that they should not give general warrants to Revolutionary Guards or komitehs to search houses or shops, or to arrest unnamed individuals.

Despite this directive however, a former Islamic Revolutionary judge told Amnesty International: “The Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps is an absolute power in Iran. Theoretically, on security and intelligence matters, they receive orders from the Prosecutor’s office, but in fact they can even bring about the transfer or removal of the hakem-eshar [religious judge] or the Friday Imam [prayer leader]. They have created an atmosphere whereby even the judges are cautious in their dealings with them. They consult with the Revolutionary Guards when issuing a verdict and even when passing sentence...”

Detention centres are in use throughout Iran, many of which are not publicly acknowledged to be prisons. Some are buildings and offices used by SAVAK during the late Shah’s time. In addition, there are schools, houses, offices and even a theatre which have been transformed into detention centres.

The fundamental right to a fair trial within a reasonable time is completely disregarded in political cases.

Because there is no legal time limit on incommunicado detention, individuals are held in isolation without trial for many weeks or months. Former detainees have said that the authorities hoped that they would “confess” to crimes under torture, or give information about political colleagues, or that other detainees would divulge information about their political activities. They assert that people are being detained in the hope that information will emerge on which to base charges.

Detainees are sometimes interrogated immediately on arrival, sometimes simply left alone in a cell with a pen and a sheet of paper and told to write down their “problems” or life story, plus the names of all the political activists they know. This may then form the basis for interrogation.

Some former detainees spent months in a cell without being questioned at all, and said that as a result they suffered agonies of suspense and uncertainty.

Occasionally, after spending months in detention without charge or trial, detainees are released. Often it is a condition of release to sign a document undertaking not to become involved in any political activities. Sometimes relatives have to pledge money or property as guarantees, or give personal pledges that the released prisoner will not become involved in political activity or leave the country.

The time spent in detention is not taken into account when a prison sentence is served. The term of imprisonment begins the day the sentence is passed, even if the detainee has already spent years in captivity, and some individuals remain in prison long after their term of imprisonment has been served.
Unfair political trials

“I was taken to a building called the court where there was a mullah behind a desk who must have been in his early twenties. There were four chairs on one side of the room and I sat down with three other women. None of us had anything in common politically, each of us had been arrested for different reasons.

“We gave our names one by one and were each asked which organization we had been arrested in connection with and what our political activities were. I said that I had been arrested because they couldn’t find my husband, and another woman said she had been at a party and had no political affiliations at all.

“The court convened for no more than five minutes. There was no one else in the room, but there were interruptions the whole time. After five minutes we were told to leave the room and there were no further questions.” (From the testimony of a woman held in Evin and Gohar Dasht prisons from November 1983 to early 1984.)

“I went to court and the mullah read out a list of 25 charges against me. The mullah said that I had confessed to all of them. The charges included belonging to Peykar, opposition to Imam Khomeini and attempting to brainwash my students.

“When the mullah asked if I had anything to say in my defence I denied all the charges, saying they had been fabricated. The mullah said he knew that my entire family were members of Peykar and that I deserved to be executed, but in order to show me that Islam is merciful he sentenced me to life imprisonment. He said there were witnesses who could testify against me but when I asked to see them I was returned to my cell.

“Later, when I received the official notification of my sentence, it stated that I had been given 15 years’ imprisonment.” (From the testimony of a man arrested in 1981.)

These two testimonies illustrate many of the problems defendants in political trials in Iran face. They have no access to defence counsel, so that there is no one to help them or speak for them in court. They are not allowed to present any evidence in their defence. They are not told in advance what the charges against them are. Trials are held in secret, with only a single judge — who may be young, inexperienced and lacking in legal training — hearing the case. Proceedings are summary in the extreme; many trials whose outcome affects the very life of the defendant are completed in a few minutes. Many defendants have been subjected to torture or ill

and give guidelines for punishments.

Such factors combined to create an almost entirely arbitrary justice system, with widely disparate sentences being passed in different parts of the country and with little or no possibility of redressing the many wrongs that inevitably resulted.

Today, judicial proceedings are still unpredictable and often arbitrary. Some political detainees are released after several weeks or months without trial, others held incommunicado without charge or trial for long periods with no way to challenge their detention in a court of law. Some are sentenced to long terms of imprisonment after summary trials but are released before they have served their sentences. Others, sentenced to relatively short terms, are kept in prison after their sentences have expired.

Transition

Following the 1979 Revolution, a series of measures was adopted to transform the judicial system into one consistent with Sharia (Islamic) law.

The transition from secular to religious law is still in process, some eight years later. One of the major problems has been a shortage of qualified judges and the lack of a clear legal framework. New legislation has taken time to draft and approve and judges were frequently working in isolation, often lacking experience and legal qualifications, and with no coherent body of legislation to define offence

Islamic Revolutionary Courts

Islamic Revolutionary Courts were created in 1979 as a temporary measure to try the large number of people ar-

Twenty-eight people charged with taking part in an armed attack on the town of Amol are surrounded by pictures of the victims at a trial in Evin prison in January 1983. Twenty-two of the 28, all members of the Union of Communists, were executed.
torted in connection with the Shah’s administration. Today, these courts are still in operation throughout the country and they hear almost all political cases.

Amnesty International believes that the administrative regulations governing these courts do not guarantee a fair trial and that, in practice, even those safeguards which are provided are not adhered to. Amnesty International knows of no single case before a Revolutionary Court in which the accused has been represented by a lawyer or allowed even the prescribed 15 hours to offer a defence. The overwhelming majority of former prisoners interviewed by Amnesty International have testified that their trials lasted a matter of minutes in their entirety.

Official regulations state that these courts should have three members, but in practice, Amnesty International knows of no political cases where a three-member tribunal has sat. Often only one judge hears the case.

Article 14 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which Iran has ratified, sets down basic provisions for fair trial in seven paragraphs. In Amnesty International’s view, not one of the seven paragraphs is fully adhered to in political cases tried by Islamic Revolutionary Courts.

Presumption of innocence

It is a fundamental principle of fair trials that the accused should be presumed innocent until proved guilty. The summary nature of trial proceedings by Islamic Revolutionary Courts argues strongly that defendants are not presumed to be innocent.

Some former prisoners interviewed by Amnesty International said they had been told by the Islamic judge that their mere presence in the court was sufficient indication of their guilt. One said he was told that “the young people could smell that I was a counter-revolutionary, so I had to stay in prison”.

Others were informed that their guilt was proved by their confessions, even though these had been extracted under torture.

Lack of defence rights

Amnesty International does not know of a single case in which a person accused of a political offence has been allowed access to a defence lawyer of his or her own choosing, or indeed to any legally qualified person acting in his or her interests. Amnesty International noted with concern the closure of the Bar Association in 1981 and the subsequent arrest of members of the Bar Council. One member of the Council and many other lawyers are still in prison.

There have been some political cases, particularly in the months following the Revolution, in which witnesses testified for the prosecution during the trial. However, Amnesty International knows of no political cases in which witnesses for the defence were permitted. Trials before Islamic Revolutionary Courts appear to dispense with witnesses altogether.

Defendants not told charges

Not only are defendants not allowed legal assistance, not only are they denied the right to present evidence in their defence, they are not even informed of the charges against them before they come to trial. Everyone is entitled to know why he or she has been arrested and what accusations are faced. International law states that they must be informed promptly and in detail of any charges against them. In Iran, people are sometimes held for months without any indication of the reason for their detention, much less of any formal charges against them.

No right of appeal

In political cases the person convicted has no right of appeal against conviction and sentence and no right to seek a judicial review of the application of the law in the case. The regulations governing Islamic Revolutionary Courts state specifically that their judgments are final and not to be revised. Even when defendants are sentenced to death, they cannot appeal against sentence or verdict, and cannot seek pardon or commutation of sentence.

Torture

There is a considerable body of evidence that defendants in many political cases have been forced to “confess” as a result of physical and psychological pressure. Iran’s own constitution proscribes the use of “any form of torture for the purpose of extracting confessions or gaining information” and makes it unlawful “to compel individuals to give testimony, make confessions or swear oaths”. However, confessions extracted under duress have often been the sole evidence in political trials and the basis of conviction.

Amnesty International knows of no cases in which the judge has taken allegations of torture into consideration or investigated them.

Some former detainees have said that they were accused of belonging to several different illegal political movements simultaneously, in an attempt to force them to confess to political activities in one of them. Many others believed that they had been arrested because they had been known to be politically active during the time of the late Shah and since they were not visibly active in support of the new government it was assumed that they were involved in anti-government activity.

Trial in secret

Another safeguard for a fair trial is that hearings should be public. Almost no trials of a political nature are held in public, although there have on some rare occasions been public sessions. The usual practice is to hold political trials in secret, with no provision for the family of the accused or for defence counsel of any kind to attend.

Even in cases in which the public is legitimately excluded from the trial, international law says that the judgment must be made public. However, Amnesty International knows of many cases in which the judgment has not been made public and in certain instances there have been clear directives to conceal the outcome of trials.

Amnesty International has been told by many former prisoners that they were not informed of the verdict and sentence until days or weeks after the trial.

Some were told by visiting relatives, others had heard “rumours” of their sentences. Few judgments in political cases are officially announced in the news media.

Discrimination

International law on fair trials states unequivocally that “All persons shall be equal before the courts.”

However, in Iran discrimination is practised on the grounds of sex and religion.

Men and women are not treated equally — for example the penal code states that compensation for the murder of a Muslim woman is half that for the murder of a Muslim man.

The testimony of women is taken to be less valuable than that of men: “quasi-intentional” murder is proved by the testimonies of “two righteous males, or one righteous male and two righteous females”. Discrimination on religious grounds has been demonstrated by the trials of members of the Baha’i faith, many of whom have been executed for refusing to recant.

In December 1985 a court ruled that a Muslim who had killed a Baha’i with a “premeditated blow” should not be prosecuted, nor could any retribution be claimed by the victim’s family, because they were Baha’is.

In mid-1985 a Muslim who had killed a Baha’i in a traffic accident was judged guilty of manslaughter, but under no obligation to the victim’s family because of their faith.
Torture and ill-treatment

Political detainees have been tortured and ill-treated in prisons and detention centres throughout Iran. Amnesty International has received hundreds of reports of physical and psychological torture and ill-treatment in recent years. The detail in these reports, their number and their consistency make it clear that in some prison and detention centres it has been routinely practised.

Scores of torture victims who have left Iran and are now refugees have been interviewed in depth by Amnesty International. Some have been examined by doctors at Amnesty International's request. In all cases, doctors concluded that the physical scarring and symptoms described supported the allegations of torture, both as to methods and timing.

Torture takes place immediately after arrest, during incommunicado detention in komiteh or Pasdaran (Revolutionary Guard) centres, and afterwards in prison where Pasdaran sometimes also serve as guards. The torture may begin as soon as detainees arrive at the centres — although by then some have already been beaten up in the vehicles delivering them. Once in a detention centre they are completely at the mercy of their captors, with no contact with the outside world, possibly for months.

Torture in Iran is usually inflicted on prisoners in order to extract confessions about political activities, names and addresses of political activists and safe houses.

Another motive for torture is to induce prisoners to renounce their political or religious beliefs and sometimes to appear on television denouncing their former views.

A young woman who was only 16 when she was arrested in November 1983 and who was held until April 1985, said that when she was taken for interrogation in Evin prison: “They blindfolded me and wrapped a blanket round my head so I could see absolutely nothing. The lashes tore into the flesh on the soles of my feet and I lost consciousness several times.”

A woman student aged 26 detained in Evin prison between September 1981 and March 1982 described her first beating: “When I refused to confess I was blindfolded and told to lie down on the floor. One of them whipped my feet with a heavy cable. I was wearing socks, but the first lash was so painful that I jumped up and ran around the room. Then they tied my hands behind my back, and my feet together, removing my socks. They covered my head with a blanket and beat me again on my back and feet, telling me to confess which political organization I belonged to and give the names of my political comrades.

“I don’t know how long it continued. At one point I pretended to be unconscious, but they just beat me harder, accusing me of trying to fool them. When they finally stopped, my feet were bleeding badly, especially around the toes-nails.

“They said they were going to lunch and left me sitting on a chair but I was shaking so much I couldn’t sit on it. When I went to the lavatory there was blood in my urine. I asked if I could lie on the floor since I was in such pain but they wouldn’t let me.”

After arrival at a detention centre, detainees have often been beaten indiscriminately all over their bodies. This may be accompanied by “football” — a technique used to disorientate and demoralize the detainee. The bound and blindfolded detainee is pushed violently from one guard to another, while being beaten, punched and kicked.

A man who was arrested in Tehran in August 1982 told Amnesty International: “They [Revolutionary Guards] bound my hands with handcuffs which got tighter as I moved my hands. They were bound behind my back diagonally, one arm stretched over the shoulder and the other under ... In the end it’s as though they’re going to pull your shoulders off, and you feel your ribs will crack ...”

“Later they suspended me [by the handcuffs] from a hook in the wall with only the tips of my toes touching the ground. In the beginning, of course, I took some of the weight on my toes to ease the pain on my shoulders. But my feet had just been beaten and they were also swollen and very painful. Gradually, as my legs became tired, my

Methods

The methods most commonly reported are beating, whipping and being suspended for long periods by the arms or wrists.

Beatings are most often on the back and on the soles of the feet. All kinds of rope and cable are used, including telephone wire, plaited leather whips, electric cable with naked wires teased into a ball and steel cable opened into a claw at one end.

A recurring image in the many testimonies gathered by Amnesty International is that of rows of detainees sitting on the floor blindfold, with swollen and bleeding feet, inside Evin prison.

This photograph shows the condition of a young student's back after several months in an Iranian prison. He was never charged with any criminal offence or brought to trial. There are no mechanisms he can use to gain redress or compensation for his injuries.
body slumped down and the pressure on my shoulders began . . .

Former victims have also reported to Amnesty International since 1989 various forms of sexual abuse, including rape of both men and women prisoners. Many former prisoners interviewed by Amnesty International became so distressed when asked about sexual abuse that they broke down and could not describe their experiences. There have been well-publicized reports of young women prisoners being forced into temporary marriage contracts with Revolutionary Guards and being raped the night before their execution. Amnesty International has been told that Revolutionary Guards have boasted of such acts to male prisoners and threatened to enter into marriage contracts with their female relatives. Although Amnesty International has not been able to substantiate these reports, former prisoners often believed them to be true and lived, while in prison, in fear of such abuse.

**Psychological torture**

Physical torture has often been accompanied by threats of execution or even mock executions. Detainees have been ordered to write their wills, blindfolded and prepared for execution. Guards have been ordered to fire, and shots fired around the victim.

A former prisoner described his experience as follows: “They took me to a yard where there were four wooden posts in a semicircle, and they tied us each to a post. The first was a boy of 14 or 15, a member of the Mojahedin. The second was an army officer and the third, aged about 23, was a member of Peykar. I was the fourth. The time before, when they asked if I had a last wish, I asked them to remove my blindfold, so this time they didn’t blindfold me. I saw the bullet hit the boy and the officer was hit in the stomach. The Peykar man may already have been dead, his body didn’t react to the bullet. The young boy was shaking violently with a bullet in his body. His hands were tied and he was trying with all his might to free himself. He was bleeding profusely. I shouted: ‘What are you waiting for? Why don’t you shoot me?’ They laughed, and I could do nothing. The young boy died, then the officer. I had just stood there and watched them suffering . . . I try very hard not to remember.”

Other prisoners have testified to the long-term psychological effects of being forced to watch the execution of fellow-prisoners, and even having to load their corpses onto lorries.

Many former prisoners say that they were warned that their relatives would be imprisoned, raped or executed unless they gave information.

A member of the Bahá’í faith who was imprisoned at Shiraz in early 1983 told Amnesty International of a young woman prisoner there at the same time who was told by prison guards that her husband had been severely tortured but that this would end if she recanted her faith. When she refused, she was taken to see him. She was shocked at his condition; he had lost a lot of weight, had bleeding, running sores on his back and his toenails had been removed. Husband and wife, both Bahá’ís, were later executed.

Serious mental disturbance is not an uncommon result of ill-treatment. Some prisoners have reported that disturbed prisoners were deliberately placed in their cells to add to their own distress.

**Isolation**

Detainees are often held in total isolation for long periods, kept in complete ignorance about their fate and allowed no contact with other prisoners or the outside world. Many describe a sense that they were losing contact with reality. They were often blindfolded for long stretches of time, which exacerbated their feelings of insecurity and vulnerability, and could hear the screams of other prisoners being tortured. Feelings of humiliation and self-disgust are commonly reported.

A woman in her twenties held for 14 months in Evin and Gohar Dasht prisons when her husband could not be found said:

“. . . in Gohar Dasht there wasn’t a minute when you couldn’t hear shouts of people being subjected to torture, you could feel it taking place. You could feel the person in the next cell being dragged out. The beating had nothing to do with interrogation — that’s why Gohar Dasht is so frightening, it’s just part of the prison life.”

Out of the 14 months I spent in prison I was in solitary confinement for nine months, either in solitary confinement in the strictest sense, or in cells intended for one person, but where there were two or three of us. But for the nine months there was no contact whatsoever with the outside world, no reading material, nothing . . . In that period I tried to look after myself and take hold of myself because I could feel myself under pressure and becoming psychologically unbalanced at times . . . All the time I saw strange things, like pictures in my mind, and I felt that everyone was an informer. I imagined I saw my husband and that he was an interrogator, and even, can you imagine, I thought my tiny son was one too . . .”

**Deaths**

Amnesty International has received a significant number of reports of deaths as a result of torture. The bodies of torture or execution victims are not usually returned to the family for burial, although in a few cases prisoners have been released after torture with such serious injuries that they died in the following few days.

**Medical care**

Torture victims have been denied medical treatment for their injuries. A former prisoner, in a typical statement, said: “There was usually no medical treatment after torture — you would just be taken to your cell and left there. Other detainees would help you out. They might know something about first aid, and some prisoners secretly kept pills for emergencies. In acute cases, like broken limbs, you would be taken to hospital.”

According to Amnesty International’s information, prisoners are not medically examined on arrival at the prison, and often even basic medical care is lacking. In some prisons there is no qual-
Amputations and floggings

Iranian officials announced in late 1984 a new machine — an electric guillotine to amputate the fingers or hands of convicted thieves.

In May 1986 such a machine was used in the courtyard of Mashad city police headquarters to sever four fingers from the right hand of a convicted thief, in front of reporters, legal officials and prisoners. The machine had reportedly been used at the Qasr prison in early 1985: the official press claimed that it could sever a hand in less than one tenth of a second.

Punishments such as amputation and flogging continue to be imposed by Iranian courts. Amnesty International considers these punishments to be cruel, inhuman and degrading. Such punishments are outlawed by international human rights treaties such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, by which Iran is bound.

Criminal trial proceedings leading to flogging or mutilation are often brief and lacking in vital legal safeguards. For example, floggings have been administered immediately after sentencing, with no possibility of appealing against verdict or sentence.

Floggings

There is no reference in reports of flogging to any medical examination either before or after the lashes have been inflicted. Amnesty International has received reports of pregnant women who had miscarriages after being flogged.

The Islamic Penal Code of Iran spells out how floggings should be administered. For fornication or drinking alcohol, a man should be whipped all over his body while he stands, naked except for his genitals. A woman, however, is whipped while seated, with her dress tied to her body. The punishment for "malicious accusation", although it is also flogging, is less intense: "Whips are applied over the normal dress with medium force, not as in whipping for fornication."

Amnesty International has interviewed a number of former prisoners who were flogged as a judicial punishment, as well as many others flogged apparently in order to extract information or confessions. The method of flogging has varied greatly, and the severity has often far exceeded the guidelines in the penal code. While some have said that the lashes were delivered with minimal force, others have said that they were whipped with full force by several officers taking turns, and that the pain was so intense that they lost consciousness.

The physical after-effects of the lashes — weals and scars — lasted for many months for some victims, and in some cases prolonged medical treatment has proved necessary because of damage to internal organs.

Flogging can be imposed for a large number of offences. Some are criminal offences such as forgering, escaping from prison, assisting a criminal to evade justice and receiving stolen property. These, and over 45 other offences, may be punished by up to 74 lashes.

Others are offences against Islamic moral and sexual codes; kissing by an unmarried couple can be punished by up to 99 lashes; drinking alcohol by 80 lashes. Adultery, sodomy and lesbianism are all punishable by flogging, as is "failure of women to wear veils and other offences in public places."

Some offences are clearly political, such as "collusion in the commission of crimes against foreign or internal security."

Floggings can take place in public, for example, a well-known Iranian singer was publicly flogged in Tehran on 9 September 1985 for drinking and gambling at a private party. He was given 50 lashes, and sentenced to one year's exile. His host also received 50 lashes, plus a year's imprisonment. Other guests at the party were also whipped and sent to jail, according to press reports.

Amputations

On 25 February 1986, four men each had four fingers cut off their right hands in Shiraz, southern Iran. They had all been convicted of repeated theft.
During 1985 and the first half of 1986, Amnesty International recorded 11 cases of judicially imposed amputation, but this is by no means a complete record.

Amnesty International believes the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has a clear duty to replace amputation with penalties that are consistent with internationally recognized human rights standards.

Amputation can be imposed for theft, "intentional mayhem" and offences such as Mohareb (being an "enemy of God") and Mofsed fil Arz ("corrupt on earth").

For theft, the punishment consists of dismembering four fingers of the right hand so that only the palm and thumb remain. If the person is convicted of theft again the punishment is dismembering of the left foot.

Amputations are carried out by the Judicial Police. Like executions and floggings, they are often carried out in public. When a convicted thief’s fingers were publicly severed in a park near a Tehran bus station in May 1986, the chief of the Judicial Police reportedly said: “Travellers will take the word to other cities.”

A public flogging in Qom, northern Iran, in 1981/2.

Crucifixion

One of the punishments set out in the Islamic Penal Code of Iran is that of crucifixion, although Amnesty International does not know of any cases in which it has been used.

It is made clear that the purpose of crucifixion is to inflict severe pain which may lead to death:

“The crucifixion of a Mohareb [enemy of God] and Mofsed fil Arz [corrupt on earth] shall be carried out by observing the following conditions:

1. The manner of tying does not cause his death.
2. He does not remain on the cross for more than three days; but if he dies during the three days, he may be brought down after his death.
3. If he remains alive after three days, he must not be killed.”

Recommendations

Widespread human rights abuses have continued for many years in Iran, despite the country’s commitment to honour the human rights set out in international instruments such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Amnesty International is again calling on the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran to adopt, as a minimum, and as a matter of urgency, the following measures. AI believes that as a step towards protecting basic human rights the government should:

1. release immediately and unconditionally all prisoners of conscience, and ensure that no one is incarcerated in future for the non-violent expression of their conscientiously held beliefs;
2. introduce and enforce a fixed limit to incommunicado detention for all political detainees. Ensure that they have prompt and regular access to a lawyer of their own choice, relatives and medical treatment when necessary, as well as the chance to challenge their detention in court;
3. ensure prompt and fair trials for all political detainees. Trials should include the basic safeguards laid down in international law. Such safeguards must include the right to be represented by a lawyer and the right to appeal against conviction and sentence;
4. initiate a thorough and independent investigation into all reports of torture, with a view to bringing to justice those responsible and compensating the victims;
5. introduce concrete safeguards to protect detainees from torture and ill-treatment. Such safeguards should include an end to secret detention centres and clear notification of the place of detention to relatives on arrest; medical examination following arrest and at intervals thereafter; frequent and unannounced visits to prisons and detention centres by authorities independent of those detaining, investigating or prosecuting the prisoners;
6. demonstrate Iran’s opposition to the use of torture by signing and ratifying all international instruments outlawing torture;
7. stop executions, and all other judicial punishments which constitute torture or ill-treatment such as crucifixion, amputation and flogging, and replace them with more humane alternatives;
8. review all existing legislation with a view to making it consistent with international human rights standards;
9. allow independent human rights and humanitarian organizations to visit the country to investigate the human rights situation and present their concerns to the government.
A worldwide campaign...

Human rights are a human responsibility. Whenever they are violated people are the victims. They and their families need practical help.

The protection of human rights is an international responsibility. This principle is accepted by major world bodies such as the United Nations; governments are now publicly accountable to the world community for protecting the rights of their own citizens. That accountability includes accepting the right of international organizations to ask questions and express concern when people’s rights are curtailed.

Amnesty International works on the basis of the universal human rights standards which the international community has proclaimed. If a state is violating those standards, Amnesty International comes to the defence of the victims.

Amnesty International began in 1961 with a newspaper article, “The Forgotten Prisoners”, by British lawyer Peter Benenson. He urged people everywhere to begin working impartially and peacefully for the victims of political persecution. “Open your newspaper any day of the week and you will find a report from somewhere in the world of someone being imprisoned, tortured or executed because his opinions or religion are unacceptable to his government,” he wrote. Within a month more than a thousand people from various countries had sent in offers of practical help. They were ready to help collect information on cases, publicize them and approach governments. What started as a brief publicity effort became a growing international movement.

Amnesty International now has more than 500,000 members, supporters and subscribers in over 160 countries and territories. They come from all walks of life, reflecting a wide variety of points of view. Most are organized into small local groups. There are now more than 3,600 groups in Africa, Asia, the Americas, Europe and the Middle East. Each group works on behalf of prisoners held in countries other than its own — emphasizing the need for international human rights work. No group or member is expected to provide information on their own country, nor do they have any responsibility for action taken or statements issued by the international organization concerning their country.

Financial independence

Amnesty International relies for its funding on donations from members and supporters. Its financial independence is vital to ensure its political independence. By far the greatest part of the movement’s funds come from small, individual donations, membership fees and local fund-raising efforts. It does not seek or accept government money for its budget.

- You can add your name to Amnesty International’s growing campaign. You can become a subscriber, join a local group, send in a donation and support our worldwide appeals. Use the coupon below.
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This briefing is part of Amnesty International’s publications program. As part of its effort to mobilize world public opinion in defence of the victims of human rights violations, Amnesty International produces a monthly Newsletter, an annual report, and reports, briefings and other documents on countries in all quarters of the globe.

Amnesty International attaches great importance to impartial and accurate reporting of facts. Its activities depend on meticulous research into allegations of human rights violations. The International Secretariat in London (with a staff of over 200, comprising some 40 nationalities) has a Research Department which collects and analyses information from a wide variety of sources. These include hundreds of newspapers and journals, government bulletins, transcriptions of radio broadcasts, reports from lawyers and humanitarian organizations, as well as letters from prisoners and their families. Amnesty International also sends fact-finding missions for on-the-spot investigations and to observe trials, meet prisoners and interview government officials. Amnesty International takes full responsibility for its published reports and if proved wrong on any point is prepared to issue a correction.
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A subscription to Amnesty International will give you access to information about human rights abuses produced on a global, independent and impartial basis. You will also receive details on how you can help the people who are the victims.
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This monthly bulletin is a regular update on Amnesty International’s work: reports of fact-finding missions, details of political prisoners, reliable reports of torture and executions. It is written—without political bias—for human rights activists throughout the world and is widely used by journalists, students, political leaders, doctors, lawyers and other professionals.

Amnesty International Report

This annual report is a country-by-country survey of Amnesty International’s work to combat political imprisonment, torture and the death penalty throughout the world. In describing the organization’s work, the report provides details of human rights abuses in over 120 countries. It is probably the most widely read — and most influential — of the many reports published by Amnesty International each year.
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