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1. Widespread use of criminal law and restrictive NGO legislation as a weapon against critical
voices is an ongoing hallmark of the human rights situation in Azerbaijan. The government
has tried to silence human rights defenders, including lawyers, journalists, bloggers, and civil
society leaders as well as politicians by means of arbitrary prosecution and imprisonment.

2. So far, the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) has rendered ten judgments against the
Government of Azerbaijan – concerning sixteen victims - regarding politically motivated
prosecutions (Article 18 of the ECHR). Only two have been implemented so far and only with
regard to their individual measures. Progress on general measures necessary to implement all
the judgments concerned, including those closed under the infringement procedure in the case
of Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan, under article 46.4 ECHR, is flagrantly absent.

3. In its most recent decision, rendered on the 4th of September 2020, the Committee of Ministers
of the Council of Europe has ended infringement proceedings against Azerbaijan. It expressed
satisfaction in view of the acquittal of two of the applicants, Ilgar Mammadov and Rasul
Jafarov. It also called for restitutio in integrum for the other applicants who continue to endure
the consequences of arbitrary criminal convictions. These include Anar Mammadli, the head
of the Election Monitoring and Democracy Studies Centre, and the prominent human rights
lawyer Intigam Aliyev. On the same day that the Committee of Ministers’ decision to end
infringement proceedings was taken, another Azeri opposition leader, Tofig Yagublu, was
convicted on what Amnesty International have described as politically motivated charges.¹

4. Our organisations do not believe that these two acquittals alone should warrant an optimistic
assessment of the actions of the Azerbaijani authorities. Nor should they warrant a decrease in
the level of supervision by the Committee of Ministers. Systemic problems of reprisals and
political persecution persist in Azerbaijan, as the government continues its strategy to weaken
civil society and peaceful dissent.

5. Local human rights groups have compiled a list of political prisoners, which on 10 June 2020
included 108 people.² Since the beginning of the pandemic, the government has continued a
crackdown on dissenting voices.iii Following political opposition rallies in Baku in July 2020, more than 100 opposition leaders, supporters, and activists were detained and prosecuted on politically motivated charges or subjected to severe penalties under administrative law.iv

6. Even when victims of politically motivated prosecutions are released from custody, they are left with criminal records. The effects of this are significant, and include bans on carrying out professional activities (such as leading NGOs or representing clients in legal proceedings); being unable to access bank accounts; ineligibility to stand in elections; and bans on travelling abroad.

7. Government critics have been pursued under trumped-up charges, detained arbitrarily, subjected to torture and other ill-treatment, and imprisoned following unfair trials. All pillars of the criminal justice system have been compromised: starting with the police (who carry out arrests without due process); continuing with the prosecution (which uses trumped-up charges); and finishing with courts (which hand out convictions following unfair trials, in which “confessions” obtained under torture are routinely admitted as evidence).

8. Restrictive NGO laws were introduced in Azerbaijan in 2013-2014 and have been applied arbitrarily since then. The result has been the hindering of legitimate activities of independent NGOs critical of the government, in particular through continued arbitrary denial of registration and the application of onerous reporting, tax and other requirements creating a pretext for a string of arbitrary arrests and prosecutions of NGO leaders. Subsequent amendments in 2016-2017 created a lengthy, complex and burdensome multi-tier system of approval of grants, which de facto prevents NGOs from accessing grants from foreign donors. Each grant agreement requires approval from the Ministry of Justice and an opinion on the financial-economic expediency of the grant from the Ministry of Finance, which interpret provisions in a discretionary manner, on vague and broad grounds.v Grants are refused for areas which are considered to be already addressed by the governments or where the purpose of a grant and its financial-economic expediency can be assessed as insufficiently described. Furthermore, the state controls information over NGO donations, collects information on individuals donating to NGOs, and exercises extensive monitoring powers over NGO activities. The rules on investigating activities of NGOs give the Ministry of Justice the power to impose, in the context of inspections, requirements on NGOs that are extremely burdensome.

9. The entirety of this legislation has forced NGOs to operate on the fringes of the law in order to continue functioning, leaving them exposed to sanctions deriving from arbitrary interpretations of this exceedingly prohibitive legislation. The European Court of Human Rights has held that the harsh regulation of NGO activity “cannot be ignored” when looking
at the politically motivated prosecution of members of civil society, because the nature of the regulations leads to the criminalization of NGO activity. vi The Court vii, the Venice Commission viii and the former Commissioner for Human Rights ix have all expressed grave concerns about the NGO legislation not being in line with international standards and about the arbitrary and harsh way it has been applied.

10. The issues of politically motivated prosecutions and the restrictive NGO framework are therefore closely intertwined. Intigam Aliyev, Rasul Jafarov and Anar Mammadli, amongst others, were imprisoned under legislation governing NGOs. In these cases, accusations of criminal activity were unlawfully linked to the administrative failures to adhere to draconian NGO and grants legislation. Systemic misuse of the criminal justice system in Azerbaijan cannot be effectively addressed without carrying out reforms to change the laws that are used to facilitate them. Furthermore, a vibrant civil society is fundamental to achieving reforms to ensure independence of the judiciary and prosecution authorities, which are necessary to prevent politically motivated prosecutions - and this will not be possible under legislation that is suffocating civil society.

11. We, the undersigned NGOs, call upon the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe to:

- Express serious concern for Azerbaijan’s failure to pursue any of the measures required to address the systemic causes that led to the multiple Court judgments finding politically motivated prosecutions and imprisonment of government critics, lawyers, and human rights defenders, and which led the Committee of Ministers to initiate its first infringement procedure under art 46.4 ECHR in the case of Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan.
- Maintain the Mammadli group on the agenda of every upcoming CM DH meeting, in order to apply continuous and effective scrutiny of the implementation of individual and general measures, as a follow up to the infringement procedure in the case of Ilgar Mammadov v. Azerbaijan.
- Call upon the Azerbaijani authorities to effectively address the lack of independence in the judiciary that enables and condones arbitrary arrests and prosecutions; to end the politically motivated prosecution of members of civil society and all arbitrary restrictions on their work; and to stop reprisals for legitimate human rights work.
- Address the issue of restrictive NGO and grants legislation in the next decision regarding the Mammadli group and request the Azerbaijani government to amend the current restrictive legislation regarding NGO activities and grants to bring it into line with the country’s obligation under international human rights law.
- Request that the Secretariat prepare an Interim Resolution, to be issued by the Committee of Ministers at its March 2021 CM/DH meeting unless the criminal convictions of all applicants in this group are overturned by that meeting.
12. Further details of the arguments set above are available in the submissions made by Amnesty International and the European Human Rights Advocacy Centre, the International Partnership for Human Rights, and in Rule 9.1 submissions made by the victims, available here.
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